



The governance of urban service delivery in developing countries

Literature review

Harry Jones, Ben Clench and Dan Harris

Key messages

- Policy makers, practitioners and researchers need to identify, understand and address the pressing challenges associated with the delivery of services in urban areas in the developing world.
- Specific attention should be paid to urban services that are most important for broader development goals, and those that present unique challenges in urban environments, including solid waste management, water and sanitation and transport services.
- Governance and political economy factors are important in determining the effective delivery of public services, including in urban areas. However, while the literature is more fully developed with respect to some services than others, the body of knowledge is not strong on the key governance challenges specific to urban areas, or how these challenges can be overcome.
- There is a need for additional comparative research and empirical studies on such under-researched areas. This review aims to provide a basis for such efforts in the future.

Acknowledgements

Thanks are due to James Docherty (ODI), Dr. Jamie Boex (The Urban Institute), and Jessica Hagen-Zanker (ODI).

Table of contents

Acknowledgements	ii
1 Introduction	1
2 Methodology	3
3 Overview	5
4 Sector mapping	8
4.1 Solid Waste Management	8
4.2 Transport	9
4.3 Water and Sanitation	10
4.4 Social housing	11
4.5 Emergency services	12
5 Recommendations	13
References	15
Multiple services	15
Solid Waste Management	17
Transport	19
Water and Sanitation	22
Housing	24
Emergency services	27
Appendix: Search protocols	28
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	28
Search strategy	28
Search strings	29

1 Introduction

By 2030, urban populations worldwide are expected to grow by 1.4 billion people, with city and town dwellers accounting for 60% of the total world population (USAID, 2013). By 2050 this figure is expected to reach 2.6 billion (ibid.). While the pace and pattern of urban growth and urbanization varies, the vast majority of the growth will take place in developing countries, with migration and urban growth leading a shift in the locus of global poverty that has been referred to as the ‘urbanisation of poverty’ (UN-Habitat, 2003 in Duflo et al., 2012).

In an increasingly urbanised world, it will be crucial to ensure that public services in urban areas deliver for poor people as well as the wider population, and it is now well known that governance factors are important in constraining or enabling effective service delivery. There are common assertions that services are likely to be better provided in urban areas because citizens may be more affluent and may feel more able to demand better services: accountability relationships with politicians and service providers are ‘closer’, for instance, so will be stronger, and there may be perceptions of higher ‘political rewards’ in delivering services to urban populations that may be more likely to vote. However, in such cases, the poorest within these growing cities and towns do not always benefit from these dynamics.

Therefore, urban populations are growing and contain greater numbers of poor people, and political economy, governance and accountability may be qualitatively different – although not necessarily always better – for urban versus rural populations. While the majority of international donor focus has been on improving services in rural areas, it seems unlikely that lessons learned from these areas can be uncritically transferred to urban areas. Hence, it is particularly important to review the available knowledge and policy advice on the governance of service delivery in urban areas.

The guiding questions for this study were:

- How do political economy factors affect public service delivery in urban areas in developing countries?
- What are the key governance challenges for delivering public services in urban areas in developing countries, and what are the lessons for what works?

This mapping has been undertaken as part of an ODI flagship programme on the politics of service delivery.¹ It is intended to serve three purposes:

¹ Additional detail on this work, including case studies, analytical frameworks for political economy analysis and an exploration of the politics of sector characteristics, can be found online at: <http://www.odi.org.uk/programmes/politics-governance/politics-public-goods-service-delivery>.

-
- a Provide background information on a potential area of interest, complementing existing reviews that have focused more exclusively on traditional social service delivery sectors;
 - b Feed into the development of (possible) future empirical case studies; and
 - c Lay the foundations for further policy analysis in order to identify specific insights and guidance for urban service delivery.

2 Methodology

Resource and time constraints precluded a full systematic review approach. Instead, principles and elements from the evidence-focused literature review methodology outlined in Hagen-Zanker and Mallett (2013)² were used to guide the work. Drawing on the four principal stages recommended (protocol development, retrieval, screening and analysis), this study proceeded as follows:

Protocol development and testing: This involved finalising the research questions (and sub-questions), the selection of inclusion and exclusion criteria, the development of search strings, the identification of databases, journals and websites to be searched, the adoption of a framework for data recording and presentation and the initial testing and revision of the protocol.

After testing of the protocol, the search protocol was adapted in a number of ways. Measures were taken to restrict and limit the scope of the search efforts because of the high number of results returned and the low proportion of relevant sources. Additional search terms were added in order to increase the number of hits that properly covered governance and political economy factors. The list of services to be covered in greater detail was refined and the decision was made to focus particularly on those sectors more prominent in the literature on urban areas, namely:

- a Transport
- b Solid waste management
- c Social housing
- d Emergency services
- e Water and sanitation

Retrieval and screening: This included an academic literature search, the collation of grey and unpublished literature and bibliography-based snowballing (searching for references from and to selected ‘key’ publications). The relevance of the literature collected was assessed using the inclusion/exclusion criteria, based first on the basis of document titles and abstracts and second (where possible) on the full text of the remaining documents.

A total of 232 sources were deemed worthy of inclusion:

- 49 focused on transport
- 51 addressed solid waste management
- 43 focused on social housing
- 6 covered emergency services
- 50 focused on water and sanitation

² ‘Guidance Note: How to do a rigorous, evidence-focused literature review in international development’ (date). Overseas Development Institute (ODI): London, available at <http://www.odi.org.uk/publications/7834-rigorous-evidence-focused-literature-review-international-development-guidance-note>.

-
- 32 covered multiple services.

Analysis: The screened sources were classified. Assessments were made of the quality and coverage of the literature and the strengths and weaknesses relating to the guiding research questions. Rapid assessments were made of:

- The importance attributed to governance and political economy factors for the delivery of the service, and the basis of this attribution
- The level of detail and depth of analysis of the nature of these governance and political economy factors
- The extent to which links were made between specified governance dynamics and service delivery outputs and outcomes, and the rigour with which this was done
- The overall strengths and weaknesses of the body of knowledge, and key gaps where insufficient research has been carried out on issues that seem particularly relevant

The full list of screened sources can be found in the bibliography at the end of this paper. The final search protocol can be found in the appendix.

3 Overview

The literature on urban service delivery tends to focus on a subset of government services. Particular attention is given to water and sanitation (including water supply, waste water management, and sanitation systems and practices), solid waste management (including collection, sorting and recycling or final disposal of waste), and transport (including public transport, traffic management and transport management more broadly). To a lesser extent, attention is also given to social housing and other housing-related services, as well as some consideration of urban safety and security. Urban areas are often covered by the literature on the delivery of health and education in developing countries, but no strong distinctions are made between rural and urban areas.

This coverage of sectors in many cases reflects a judgement about which services are most pressing to deliver in urban areas in order to reach broader developmental goals – for example, the health risks posed by water and sanitation challenges are particularly acute in urban areas, and this is increasingly also where a large proportion of the poor live (J-PAL, 2012). The selection of urban service delivery sectors often prioritises those services over which urban governments typically have some level of control – for example, solid waste management (SWM) is devolved to the local government in a large majority of cases (Boex et al., 2014). To a lesser extent, this selection is based on an implicit assessment of the extent to which the delivery of a particular service and/or the challenges faced is qualitatively different in urban areas.

An example of the latter can be seen in the challenges faced in the provision of water and sanitation services. Characteristics of those services in urban areas, such as the increased use of networked rather than household level systems that often dominate in rural areas, not only create new technical challenges but also affect the types of politics generated in these sectors. In the example given here, networked systems create tendencies towards monopoly provision, which in turn shifts the balance of power in the sector and affects the ability of both users and the state to hold providers to account.³

The review uncovered a considerable amount of evidence that indicates that governance plays an important causal role in the effective delivery of services in urban areas, alongside financial challenges and technical concerns (Harpham and Boateng, 1997; Devas, 1999; Boex et al. 2014). The provision of adequate financing is an important driver of the effectiveness of urban services (Stren, 2012); for example comparative evidence suggests that levels of recurrent expenditure have a modest correlation with service delivery outputs across urban areas in South Asia (Boex et al., 2014).⁴ Technical concerns and the implementation of ‘good practice’ are of course important, although even the predominantly technically-

³ McLoughlin, C. with Batley, R. (2012) ‘The Effects of Sector Characteristics on Accountability Relationships in Service Delivery’. ODI Working Paper 350. London: Overseas Development Institute.

⁴ Financing does not necessarily seem to be an insurmountable obstacle. Many urban areas are economically vibrant and a considerable amount of experimentation has been done and progress made on how to harness this for the delivery of public services by means of innovative financing arrangements.

oriented sources tend also to highlight the importance of institutional strengthening and specific governance measures and recognise that implementation is affected by the local context. In fact, a strong theme in the literature is an exploration of the failure of various reforms that took a technical approach and imported ‘good practice’ models, in particular for water supply and public transport.

Overall, the available literature does not permit firm conclusions to be drawn about the nature of key governance challenges and lessons and the political economy factors that are most important for delivering services in urban areas in developing countries. The biggest weakness in the body of current knowledge is insufficient comparability: too few assessments attempt within- or between-country comparisons, and there are limitations to desk-based comparison due to patchy geographic coverage and a limited comparability between sources (due primarily to different conceptions of governance).⁵

Another crucial weakness is the relatively low number of studies that attempt to make systematic links between governance and the effectiveness of service delivery. Typically, studies that focus on institutional, governance and political economy factors do not include measures of outputs and outcomes, and where they are included the causal link between the governance dynamics and the effective delivery of the service is not explored in detail. Studies that focus more on service delivery outputs and outcomes tend not to cover governance issues in detail, do not provide explanation of the findings or recommendations about governance and institutions, and fail to provide an analysis of the causes and consequences of those factors.

Taken together, these factors mean that it is difficult to draw robust conclusions that clearly identify the most important governance challenges and political economy factors in delivering services in urban areas and the most critical lessons for the governance of these services. This caveat aside, some impressionistic generalisations can be made.

The governance of urban areas is carried out using a range of different types of bodies (with differing levels of responsibility and differing levels of decentralisation themselves), but no one size fits all, and different models seem to fit better in different contexts (Slack, 2007). More than in rural settings, it seems that urban governance involves a large and diverse range of actors, and in particular there is a strong theme of working with or through the private sector for service delivery (Harpham and Boateng, 1997; Slack, 2007).

Decentralisation is a common concern: in general, studies either argue or assume that an increased level of political devolution and distribution of responsibilities and powers to city-level administrative units will result in improved service delivery outcomes (e.g. Dillenger, 1994; Harpham and Boateng, 1997; J-PAL, 2012). The only available robust comparative analysis shows that in South Asia, the levels of local functional responsibility and local administrative control have a modest correlation with service delivery outcomes (Boex et al., 2014). That study concurs with a broader theme in the literature: despite numerous efforts at decentralisation, the levels of de facto control that has been given to local government bodies in urban areas is typically quite limited.

Many of the other governance challenges seem to fit around the central elements of Wild et al.’s working framework for common governance constraints to service

⁵ WATSAN stands out as an exception to this general rule, although there have been few attempts to synthesise lessons specific to urban areas and potential gaps on urban-specific WATSAN services such as sewerage.

delivery,⁶ in particular policy (in)coherence, bottom-up and top-down performance monitoring and oversight and space for local problem-solving:

Policy incoherence: Urban areas face large and complex challenges that are often interrelated with many other trends and challenges, the resolution of which requires interlinkages between different sectors and often different local government bodies (Slack, 2007). Indications from the available literature suggest that this issue can be addressed with the provision of unified bodies for a sector (e.g. a unitary transport authority is frequently recommended), or through strong coordination and the promotion of collaboration (Henderson, 2001).

Performance monitoring and oversight: From a top-down perspective, there is a focus on the importance of the appropriate management of markets for outsourced services and careful design of contracting instruments and incentives for contractors (e.g. Mitric, 2013). The bottom-up side appears in studies that emphasise the role of mechanisms for downward accountability and the participation and influence of local communities on the management of service delivery (although notably, little seems to be known on how to engage urban communities in service delivery).

Local problem-solving: A strong theme in the literature shows how externally-imposed solutions have tended to produce disappointing results due to the importing of international models with insufficient local ownership or with no attempt to tailor them to local realities (this occurs, in particular, in privatisation reforms in transport and waste management). There are also notable examples of novel governance arrangements being reached through local drive and experimentation; in these cases there is a particular focus on ‘networked’ forms of governance as an alternative to the creation of unified authorities (e.g. Oosterveer, 2009) and on finding ways to build solutions with existing practices and institutions (e.g. Henderson, 2001).

The literature provides fewer lessons on political economy dynamics than on governance. It includes some discussion of the micro-level incentives that have technical characteristics of service delivery subsectors, as well as some discussions of the role of local and national politics in shaping urban service delivery outcomes. The economics and politics of land use and development, and their interaction, seems to be a central theme impacting on a number of different services, as does the dynamics of private sector provision.

⁶ Wild, L., Chambers, V., King, M. and Harris, D. (2012) ‘Common constraints and incentive problems in service delivery’. ODI working paper 351. London: ODI <http://www.odi.org.uk/sites/odi.org.uk/files/odi-assets/publications-opinion-files/7791.pdf>

4 Sector mapping

The following section provides an assessment of the literature on each service delivery sector, describing the importance attributed to governance factors for service delivery, a brief outline of what is known about governance and political economy factors relating to the service and an assessment of key gaps in the literature.

4.1 Solid Waste Management

SWM is one of the central urban services. Solid waste is increasingly an issue for urban areas in developing countries due to rapid urban growth and increases in consumption; the harmful health and environmental effects, typically felt the most by the poorest in a city, make it an urgent issue to tackle. Providing collection, sorting, processing, recycling and final disposal of waste has proven to be a considerable challenge for the majority of urban areas in developing countries.

The available literature suggests that the coverage and effectiveness of SWM services varies considerably between urban areas in developing countries (World Bank, 2012). As well as the physical scale of the task, the amount of money spent on SWM is one important factor in the effectiveness of this service, which is typically underfunded (Sida, 2006). The Urban Institute (2014) shows some correlation between resources allocated to SWM and volumes of waste collected across 11 cities in South Asia. Nunan and Satterthwaite (2010) find the wealth of a city and its residents to be important factors in the delivery of SWM and other environmental services across nine cities in Africa, Asia and Latin America. In addition to these factors, all available research indicates that governance issues are highly significant in the delivery of SWM. Some have even proposed that the effectiveness of SWM can serve as a proxy indicator for the quality of governance in urban areas (Whiteman et al., 2001).

Our literature review uncovered a number of sources relating to SWM and governance. A selection of studies examine governance challenges and practices in some detail, in a number of cases going into the political economy factors and the drivers of better or worse governance of SWM (for example, Yhdego, 1995; Onikobun, 1999; Walling et al., 2004; Myers, 2005; Mariwah, 2012; and Bjerkli, 2013). Studies have been carried out in cities in North, East, West and South Africa, South and South-East Asia and Latin America. Many of these (although not all) do make explicit efforts to connect governance, institutional and political economy issues with measurable service delivery outcomes.

Across these studies there are some common themes. According to all the available literature, responsibility for SWM is given to municipal government or other local government bodies for urban areas and the responsibility for delivering SWM is frequently outsourced to some degree to the private sector or NGOs. Governance arrangements have proved to be important, with the level of both de jure and de facto decentralisation found by the Urban Institute (2014) to have some correlation with SWM service delivery outcomes. Mechanisms for performance monitoring and effective design of regulation and contracting instruments have proven crucial

in some contexts (Mariwah, 2012). In other instances, downward accountability and spaces and channels for citizens to influence the provision of services have made an impact on service delivery (Nunan and Satterthwaite, 2010).

Informal institutions are often important, with the ability for actors to forge collaborative ‘network’ approaches to governance leading to better outcomes in some instances (van Horen, 2004; Oosterveer, 2009); instances where new arrangements are built organically on existing practices (such as scavenging) have also proven to be promising (Yhdego, 1995). Some political economy factors are discussed: for example, the majority of retrieved studies recognise improved SWM as a public good and hence face the challenges of free-riders and ‘the tragedy of the commons’. Political drivers of SWM policies are explored in some cases (e.g. Feiock and West, 1993), and the role of cultural and political histories in the success of policy reform (Myers, 2005). Others have shown how the ‘network’ of SWM delivery functions largely for patronage or rent-seeking purposes, with the local government retaining key powers relating to contracting and price-setting that allow them to exploit relationships (Bjerkli, 2013).

These themes should only be taken as indicative, however, because there is a limited body of knowledge on the governance, institutional and political economy factors that affect the delivery of SWM services in urban areas in developing countries. In general, the more practically-focused literature on SWM, including assessments, good practice and programme design material, have tended to focus on technical issues, with governance and institutional factors perceived largely as an issue of capacity or a matter for importing idealised models. Those studies that go more deeply into the substance of the governance of SWM have insufficient geographical coverage and are lacking in comparative analysis. Analysis of political economy dimensions is also insufficient. The majority of studies focus on single urban areas, with only a small number looking at a number of sites in the same country; just three uncovered sources that discuss governance and institutional dynamics of SWM that draw on evidence from more than one country. It is difficult to draw comparisons between cities covered in different studies because of differences in conceptual and empirical approaches to issues of governance.

4.2 Transport

Transport-related services in urban areas include public transportation, traffic management and provisions for non-motorised transport. These services have the potential to contribute to developmental outcomes in a number of ways: the reduction of congestion and travel times can in turn reduce transaction and connectivity costs, thereby spurring growth; urban mobility through affordable transportation can lead to economic mobility by enabling poorer segments of the population to access opportunities; safety can be improved through better traffic management; and both health and environmental benefits can be gained by planning for reduced motorised transport (Gwilliam, 2004; Sietchiping et al., 2012; Mitric, 2013).

Some transport-related priorities are resource intensive: affordable public transport will often need considerable ongoing subsidy, as well as considerable up-front investment (especially for rail modalities). However, across the available literature there seem to be strong roles for management, institutions and governance (e.g. GTZ, 2004; ASI, 2005; IDL, 2013). Fast-growing urban centres in the developing world are facing ‘premature congestion’, with high levels of traffic and in many cases declining mobility due to the growth rate and pattern of urban populations and car ownership, but also the fact that they are outpacing management capacities

(Gakenheimer, 1999; Sietchiping et al., 2012). The majority of cities not only struggle to provide decent public transport, but also fail to have a functional traffic management unit (something that is relatively low-cost; Gwilliam, 2003).

A number of sources look in detail at particular governance forms and their impact on service delivery outcomes. Policy coherence and institutional coordination are central themes: responsibilities even just for traffic management and road safety are typically distributed across a number of ministries, and finding affordable ways to transport populations requires not only effective individual modalities, but joining up different modalities in terms of planning, use and management (Gwilliam, 2003; GTZ, 2004; Mitric, 2013). Many argue that the solution is to create one single transport authority for metropolitan areas, whereas some have argued that the system is and will be 'polycentric' and needs to be managed as a network (Vaidyanathan et al., 2013). The literature shows that imported 'good governance', typically in the form of privatisation and deregulation of public transport, has not proved entirely successful, in part because of principal-agent issues and challenges for effective contracting and properly-aligned performance incentives (Estache and Gomez-lobo, 2003; Imran, 2010; Mitric, 2013). It has been argued that the ability of some countries to escape traditional 'good practice' models and find unconventional approaches that better fit local realities and existing institutions is one of the major hopes for improved transport management (Gakenheimer, 2003; Imran, 2010).

There are also a number of sources that cover the drivers of management and governance, including political economy factors. For example, one model examines the reasons why demographically heterogeneous cities have a bias towards underinvestment in transport (Breuckner and Selod, 2005), while a number of sources highlight how congestion and environmental issues can lead to market failures (for example, on-street competition incentivises frequent stop-and-goes, thereby increasing traffic and lowering efficiency). The economic benefits of running privatised services mean that the sector is sometimes used for patronage purposes, and in many cases powerful bus lobbies stand against reform (IDL, 2013). In other cases there seems to be a strong political incentive to provide affordable public transport supported by genuine political leadership (IDL, 2013; Estache and Gomez-Lobo, 2003). And as with other urban issues, the possibility of coherent planning and sufficient financing is affected by the economics and politics of urban land use (Borcke and Wrede, 2004).

Despite these notable themes and strengths, there are some gaps in the literature. The institutional, political economy and governance challenges relating to traffic management remain relatively unstudied and are currently under-prioritised by international agencies. Even less is known about promoting and governing non-motorised transport and what drives it. And in public transport, although there is a reasonable level of breadth and depth, existing studies tend to focus on the incompatibility of imported models of good practice (in particular privatisation), and less seems to be known about what does work and how hybrid arrangements might be found.

4.3 Water and Sanitation

Water and sanitation are thought to be among the most important services that should be provided for people who live in urban areas. This area includes water supply, systems for dealing with waste water and sewerage and domestic sanitation systems and practices. The effective provision of these services has primary health benefits and prevents the spread of disease.

A considerable body of literature documents the importance of institutions and governance for effective delivery of these services. Political economy factors, institutional dimensions and governance failures are common factors in ineffective service delivery, typically hitting the poorest the hardest (World Bank, 2012). It is commonly agreed that effective water and sanitation relies on strong institutions and good governance, especially so that all people, even the poorest, receive water effectively and can use it to serve their needs (Bakker, Kooy, 2008, Hardoy et al., 2005). While not all sources relating to the governance of water supply and sanitation (WATSAN) focus exclusively on urban areas, a large proportion do cover urban as well as rural areas, where it seems these issues are equally important.

The review uncovered a significant amount of research on how to govern services effectively in order to meet those people's needs. A number of studies analyse the political economy factors affecting WATSAN service delivery in urban areas in developing countries (Harris, Kooy and Jalloh, 2012; Basu and Main, 2001; Pierce, 2012). Some of them discuss the institutional factors required to effectively benefit from water and sanitation services, as well as a thorough analysis of governance challenges and their drivers and the implications they have for the provision of water and sanitation (Hardoy et al., 2005; Bauer, 1998; Rashid, Jusoh, Malek, 2009; World Bank, 2011). The employment of public-private partnership is a particular focus, along with lessons for what drives good partnerships between public and private operators (McGranahan and Satterthwaite, 2006). This literature has a broad geographical scope.

A significant number of studies analyse the political economy factors affecting WATSAN service delivery in urban areas in developing countries (Harris et al., 2012; Basu and Main, 2001; Pierce, 2012; Cammack, 2012). They focus on several themes, including assessments of the causes that could affect people's motives to get water and sanitation services (Whitfield, 2006; Felgendrecher and Lehman, 2012; Pierce, 2012). Often there are free-rider problems, which can affect the delivery of WATSAN services, especially when such services have to be 'networked' (Harris et al., 2012). There is also analysis of the opportunities and constraints to promote improved outcomes through regulatory frameworks (Walker et al., 1997).

The literature on the governance of WATSAN is quite strong overall and does positively address urban areas, although J-PAL (2012) argue that there are still key gaps on institutional barriers and political economy dynamics. One important gap, however, is subsectoral: there is limited focus on waste water treatment and sewerage and the associated governance and political economy factors. Of secondary importance, there has not yet been a synthesis directed at applying the lessons for governing WATSAN specifically to urban areas.

4.4 Social housing

There is an existing body of knowledge on the problems resulting from inadequate housing, in particular, the challenges associated with slums and poor living conditions (Rondinelli, 1990). Some efforts at slum upgrading are being made, and there are some domestically driven programmes, but, in general, social housing and the provision of other housing services has not taken off as a mainstream concern in the literature on international development.

A number of studies relate to how political economy factors shape housing outcomes, such as work looking at institutional factors and the interests of elites in urban Africa (Fox, 2013; Jibao and Prichard, 2013), studies on Asia (Shatkin,

2004; Sandhu, 2013) and the Pacific Islands (Duncan, 2011). There is significant diversity in this literature, with some tackling issues of housing directly (Harriss, 2007; Jha 2007; Jiabao and Prichard, 2013), while others include land and housing as an aspect of other problems such as food security and pro-poor growth (e.g. Maxwell and Daniel, 1999).

In general there does seem to be some consensus that these geographies of poverty are shaped by structural and institutional factors and incentives and by political and economic behaviour. There is insufficient knowledge to draw any robust comparisons, but some factors discussed include how the structure of the productive economy is seen to have led to housing problems in Singapore, (Lee, 2008); how various forces driving political and economic exclusion are behind the emergence of slums in India (Appadurai, 2001), and links between political representation and democracy and housing (Rasid, 2009).

4.5 Emergency services

This review uncovered very little literature that directly covered emergency services in developing countries, whether or not in urban areas. While it seems possible that some material on emergency health services might be located through a targeted search of the literature on health systems, and that knowledge on urban search and rescue might be found in a targeted search of the literature on humanitarian action, there does seem to be a genuine gap in these two areas, and there is undoubtedly very little known about fire services in developing countries (whether in urban areas or not). This gap in knowledge is accompanied by a seeming lack in interest in the issue on the part of aid agencies and other development actors.

What little literature was found was predominantly focused on emergency medical services; it highlighted management problems, financial wastage and institutional inefficiency. Some analysis of incentives relating to trauma services was located (Joshi et al., 2004), plus an assessment of the capacity of the institutions dealing with the ambulance services (Huang et al., 2001) and analysis of the efficiency of institutional structures and bottlenecks relating to these services (Waseem et al., 2011). However, in general, issues of governance are not covered in detail. Studies tend instead to focus largely on a basic mapping of the available services, an assessment of the frequency of use and/or recommendations about the technical aspects of services that could cover urban populations, such as trauma care and ambulance services.

5 Recommendations

This review provides a robust mapping of the available literature relating to the governance of service delivery in urban areas; hence, it will be of use to any future attempts to contribute to knowledge and policy advice in this field.

It is extremely important that *comparative analysis* is carried out on the governance of urban service delivery:

- New, empirical multi-country studies in the vein of Boex et al. (2013) are needed, focusing on the significance of broadly-defined governance factors, as well as a series of more qualitative studies focused on better understanding of governance and political economy dynamics.
- A desk review may be helpful in order to begin to outline and categorise different governance challenges faced in the provision of key urban services and how they have been overcome.
- At a minimum, any single-area study should make attempts to carry out research in a way that builds on and is comparable to the existing body of knowledge.

Comparative analysis should aim to compare and contrast areas where progress has been possible in urban settings (for example where transport has improved or water supply has increased), both to understand why and under what conditions this occurred and to explore what may be transferable to other urban contexts.

One possible tool to assist with comparability is the adoption of the ODI framework of common governance constraints (Wild et al., 2012), which seems to provide a good fit for challenges of governing services in urban areas.

Some recommendations can also be made on priority sectors for future primary research:

- **Emergency services:** There seems to be very little knowledge on emergency services in urban areas in developing countries in general, let alone the governance and political economy dimensions. Empirical research is needed to explore the causes of insufficient provision of these services, and the lessons for their effective delivery.
- **Waste management:** There is a need for more detailed research on the political economy factors affecting waste management in urban areas and the challenges and lessons for governing those services.
- **Traffic management:** In contrast to a reasonable number of studies on transport management and public transport, there is very little on traffic management. Future research should focus on the challenges and lessons for governing these services and the political economy factors affecting service provision.
- **Sewerage:** In contrast to the otherwise fairly strong WATSAN literature, there is relatively little on sewerage. Future research should

examine the political economy factors shaping the provision of waste water treatment services and the governance challenges and lessons for effectively governing these services.

It is important for future research in this area to ensure that attempts are made to link governance dynamics with measureable service delivery outputs and outcomes. This could be done through longitudinal comparisons that look at changes in outputs/outcomes over time in one city as well as comparisons between different cities.

References

Multiple services

Boex, J., Lane, B., and Yao, G., (2013) *An Assessment of Urban of Urban Public Service Delivery in South Asia: An Analysis of Institutional and Fiscal Constraints*. Urban Institute Center on International Development and Governance Research Report. Washington, D.C.: The Urban Institute.

Brixi, H. (2009) *China: Urban Services and Governance*. Policy Research Working Paper 5030, Poverty Reduction and Economic Management Department. Washington, D.C: World Bank.

Cammack, D. (2012) *Peri-urban governance and the deliverance and the delivery of public goods in Malawi, 2009-11*. Research Report 03, Africa power and politics research programme, London: ODI.

Cook, R. and Ayee, J. (2006) 'Urban Service Partnerships, "Street-Level Bureaucrats" and Environmental Sanitation in Kumasi and Accra, Ghana: Coping with Organisational Change in the Public Bureaucracy'. *Development Policy Review* 24 (1): pp. 51-73.

Davison, C. (2001) 'Urban governance and the effective delivery and management of infrastructure services in urban areas in Zimbabwe: An appraisal of water and sewerage services delivery in Ruwa'. *Urban Forum* 12 (2) pp. 139-170.

Denhere, W., Tafirei, F., Zivanai, O., Lovemore, M., Chingarande, A. (2011) 'The Quality of Service Delivery in Zimbabwean Urban Councils: a Case Study of Bindura Municipality 2009 to 2011'. *European Journal of Business and Economics*, vol.5, 2011

Devas, N. (1999) 'Who Runs Cities? The Relationship between Urban Governance, Service Delivery and Poverty'. Urban Governance, Poverty, and Partnerships, theme Paper 4, Birmingham: University of Birmingham

Dillinger, W. (1994) 'Decentralisation and its Implications for Urban Service Delivery, UNDP/UNCHS/World Bank Urban Management Programme, Washington, D.C: World Bank

Harpham, T.: Boateng, K.A. (1997) 'Urban Governance in Relation to the Operation of Urban Services in Developing Countries', *Habitat International*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 65-77

Henderson, K.M. (2001) 'Urban service delivery in developing countries – escaping western bureaucratic solutions', *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, vol. 14, iss. 4, pp. 327-340

J-PAL (2012) "J-PAL Urban Services Review Paper." Cambridge, MA: Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab <http://www.povertyactionlab.org/publication/improving-access-urban-services-poor>.

Lambright, G.M.S. (2012) *Opposition politics and urban service delivery in Kampala, Uganda*, UNU-WIDER Working Paper, No. 2012/51, Helsinki: UNU-WIDER

Manasan, R.; Mercado, R. G. (1999) *Governance and Urban Development: Case Study of Metro Manila*, Philippine Institute for Development Studies Discussion Paper Series No. 99-03, Manila: PIDS

Njoh, A.J. (2001) *Planning Rules in Post-Colonial States: The Political Economy of Urban and Regional Planning in Cameroon*, Ney York: Nova Science Publishers

Nunan, F. and Satterthwaite, D. (2001) 'The Influence of Governance on the Provision of Urban Environmental Infrastructure and Services for Low-income Groups', *International Planning Studies*, vol. 6, iss. 4

Obeng-Odoom, F. (2013) *Governance for Pro-Poor Urban Urban Development: Lessons from Ghana*, Routledge explorations in development studies, Abingdon: Routledge

Omar, M. (2009) 'Urban governance and service delivery in Nigeria', *Development in Practice*, vol. 19, iss. 1

Pandey, K.K. (2012) *Administration of Urban Development and Urban Service Delivery*, Theme Paper for the 59th Members' Annual Conference 2012, New Delhi: Indian Institute of Public Administration

<http://www.iipa.org.in/upload/Theme%20Paper%20Members%20Conference.pdf>

Pieterse, E.A. (2000) *Participatory Urban Governance: Practical Approaches, Regional Trends, and UMP Experiences* Urban Management Programme Discussion paper, Nairobi: UN Habitat

PRIA (2012) *Participatory urban planning and service delivery to urban poor, Analysis of case study in India*, New Delhi: PRIA

Rakodi, C. (2003) 'Politics and performance: the implications of emerging governance arrangements for urban management approaches and information systems', *Habitat International*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 523-547.

Resnick, D. (Undated) *Failing to Capitalize? Urban Service Delivery in Opposition-Controlled African Cities*, Woodrow Wilson International Center for Scholars, Washington, D.C., April 30, 2010

Savage, D., and Dasgupta, S. (2006) 'Governance Framework Delivery of Urban Services', in 3iNetwork (ed.) *India Infrastructure Report 2006: Urban Infrastructure*, New Delhi: Oxford University Press

Slack, E. (2007) *Managing the Co-ordination of Service Delivery in Metropolitan Cities: The Role of Metropolitan Governance*, Policy Research Working Paper, 4317, Urban Development Unit, Washington, D.C: World Bank

Stren, R., (2012) *Donor Assistance and Urban Service Delivery in Africa*, WIDER Working Paper, 2012/49, Helsinki: UNU-WIDER

Suri, S. N. () *Making Indian Cities Liveable: The Challenges of India's Urban Transformation*, ISOCARP Review 07, The Hague: ISOCARP

Wan, Z., Wang, X., and Sperling, D. (2013) *Policy and Politics behind the public transportation systems of China's medium-sized cities: Evidence from the Huizhou reform*, *Utilities Policy*, vol. 27, pp. 1-8.

World Bank, (2007) *Service Delivery and Governance at the Sub-National Level in Afghanistan*, Washington, D.C: World Bank

Zhu, J. (2012) 'Development of sustainable urban forms for high-density low-income Asian countries: The case of Vietnam: The institutional hindrance of the commons and anticommons', *Cities*, vol. 29, iss. 2, pp. 77-87

Solid Waste Management

Adama, O., (2007) *Governing from Above: Solid Waste Management in Nigeria's new Capital City of Abuja*, Stockholm studies in Human Geography 17, Stockholm University.

Ahmed, S.A., and Ali, M. (2004) 'Partnerships for solid waste management in developing countries: linking theories to realities', *Habitat International*, vol. 28, no 3, pp. 467-479.

Arisukwu, O.C. (2011) 'The Political Economy of Refuse Collection and Disposal in Nigerian Urban Centres', *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, vol. 13, no. 8

Bhuiyan, B.H. (2010) 'A Crisis in governance: Urban solid waste management in Bangladesh', *Habitat International*, vol 34, iss 1, pp. 125-133.

Bjerkli, C.L. (2013) 'Governance on the ground: A Study of Solid Waste Management in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 37 iss.4, pp. 1273-1287

Blones, M.R., and Jubilo, A.B. (2003) 'Assessment of Governance in Solid Waste Management at Barangay Matina Crossing 74-A, Davao City, Philippines' archivos.labcontrol.cl/wcce8/offline/techsched/manuscripts/ia9wv9.pdf

Burkhard, D. (2012) 'Solid waste management as an indicator of urban governance - A case study of Port of Spain', Amsterdam: Universiteit van Amsterdam.

Charuvichaipong, C., and Sajor, E., (2006) 'Promoting waste separation for recycling and local governance in Thailand', *Habitat International*, vol. 30, iss. 3, pp. 579-594.

Devas, N., and Korboe, D., (2000) 'City governance and poverty: the case of Kumasi', *Environment and Urbanisation*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 123-136.

Feiock, R.C. and West, J.P. (1993) 'Testing Competing Explanations for Policy Adoption: Municipal Solid Waste Recycling Programs', *Political research quarterly*, vol.46, no.2, pp. 399-419

Imam, A., Mohammed, B., Wilson, D., and Cheeseman, C. (2008) 'Solid waste management in Abuja, Nigeria', *Waste Management*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 468-472.

Jayasinghe, R. and Baille, C. (2013) 'Waste, Power and Justice: Towards a socially and environmentally just waste management in Sri Lanka', 12th Global Conference: Environmental Justice and Citizenship

Johnson, H., Wilson, G., (2000) 'Biting the Bullet: Civil Society, Social Learning and the Transformation of Local Governance', *World Development*, Vol. 28, No. 11, pp. 1891-1906

Kazungu, R. (2010) *Improving Governance for Sustainable Waste Management in Nairobi*, 46th ISOCARP Congress, 2010, The Hague: ISOCARP

Khajuria, A., Matsui, T., Machimura, T., Morioka, T, (2010) 'Assessment of the Challenge of sustainable recycling of municipal solid waste management in India', *International Journal of Environmental Technology and Management*, vol 13, no. 2, pp. 171-187(17).

Kironde, J.M.L., and Yhedego, M. (1997) 'The governance of waste management in urban Tanzania: towards a community based approach', *Resources, Conservation and recycling*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 213-226(14).

-
- Linowes, R., and Hupert, M.B. (2006) 'The tropical waste dilemma: waste management in Panama', *International Journal of Emerging markets*, vol. 1, iss. 3, pp. 225-234.
- Ma, L.J.C. (2002) 'Urban transformation in China, 1949 - 2000: a review and research agenda', *Environment and Planning*, vol. 34 (9), pp 1545-1569.
- Majale, C. (2012) Governance and Non-State Actors in Municipal solid Waste Management, Paper presented at The Berlin Conference on the Human Dimensions of Global Environmental Change, 8-9 October 2010
- Mariwah, S. (2012) 'Institutional Arrangements for Managing Solid Waste in the Shama-Ahanta-East Metropolis, Ghana', *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* (Volume 14, no 6 pp. 296-312
- Mohd, S. (2013) 'Need for Effective urban Governance for Management of Solid Waste in Indian Cities: a case Study', *Global Advanced Research Journal of Geography and Regional Planning*, vol. 2(3) pp. 038-046.
- Moore, S. (2008) 'The Politics of Garbage in Oaxaco, Mexico', *Society and Natural Resources*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 597-610.
- Myers, G.A. (2005) *Disposable Cities: Garbage, Governance and Sustainable Development in Urban Africa*, Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing Limited
- Myllyla, S. (2001) 'NGOs in Urban Environmental Governance: Waste Recycling in Cair', in Tostensen, A. Tvedten, I. and Vaa, M. (eds) *Associational Life in African Cities: popular responses to the urban crisis*, Uppsala: The Nordic Africa Institute
- Njeru, J. (2006) 'The urban political ecology of plastic bag waste problem in Nairobi, Kenya', *Geoforum*, vol. 37, iss. 6, pp. 1046-1068.
- Njoh, A.J. (2001) *Planning Rules in Post-Colonial States: The Political Economy of Urban and Regional Planning in Cameroon*, New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Nzeadibe, T. (2009) 'Solid waste reforms and informal recycling in Enugu urban area, Nigeria', *Habitat International*, vol. 33, no. 1, pgs 93-99.
- Nzeadibe, T.C., Ayadiuno, R.U., and Akuwe, T.I., (2010) 'Solid waste governance in Owerri urban area, Nigeria: problems and prospects', *Waste Management*, 30 (2), pp 355-357
- Ogwueleka, T..Ch. (2009) 'Municipal Solid Waste Characteristics and Management in Nigeria', *Journal of Environmental Health Science*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 173-180.
- Onibokun, A.G. (ed.) (1999) *Managing the Monster: urban waste and governance in Africa*, Ottawa: International Development Research Centre (IDRC)
- Oosterveer, P., (2009) 'Urban environmental services and state in East Africa: between neo-developmental and network governance approaches', *Geoforum*, vol. 40, iss. 6 pgs 1061-1068.
- Owusu, G., Oteng-Ababio, M., Afutu-Koley, R.L., (2012) 'Conflicts and governance of landfills in a developing country city, Accra', *Landscape and Urban Planning*, vol. 104, iss. 1 pgs 105-113.
- Ramos, C., Vincenti, A., Ortega, D., (2012) 'Challenges and Opportunities of Waste Collection in Caracas: Sucre Municipality Case Study', *The Journal of Sustainable Development*, vol. 7, iss. 1, pp. 225-129.

Sampaio, B.R., Neto, O.L., Sampalo, Y., (2008) 'Efficiency analysis of public transport systems: Lessons for institutional planning', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 445-454.

Sida (2006) Urban Solid Waste Management, Stockholm: Sida http://www.sida.se/Publications/Import/pdf/sv/Urban-solid-waste-management_1067.pdf.

Uma, K.E., Nwaka, I. D. and Enwere G. (2013) 'Restructuring Urban Solid Waste Management and Housing Problems for Economic Development: A Case of Nigeria', *Business Systems Review*, vol 2., iss 3 pp. 54-71.

van Beukering, P., Sehker, M., Gerlagh, Kumar, V., (1999), Analysing Urban Solid Waste in Developing Countries: A perspective on Bangalore, India, The programme of Collaborative Research in the Economics of Environment and Development (CREED) WP 24, London: IIED

van Horen, B. (2004) 'Fragmented coherence: solid waste management in Colombo', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 757-773(17)

van Vliet, B., van Buuren, J., Oosterveer, P. and Spaargaren, G. (2014) 'Network governance and waste and sanitation service provision: An introduction to the modernised mixtures approach', in van Vliet, B., van Iuuren, J. and Mgana, S. (eds) *Urban Waste and Sanitation Services for Sustainable Development*, Routledge Studies in Sustainable Development, Abingdon: Routledge

Walling, E., Walston, A., Warren, B., Warshay, E., and Wilhelm, E. (2004), 'Municipal Solid Waste Management in Developing Countries: Nigeria, a Case Study', NTRES 314 <http://www2.dnr.cornell.edu/saw44/NTRES331/Products/Spring%202004/Papers/SolidWasteManagement.pdf>

Watson, A.D. (2004) 'An examination of Vietnam's urban Waste Management Capacity', research paper submitted for a Masters degree at University of Toronto

Whiteman, A., Smith, P. and Wilson, D. (2001) Waste Management: An indicator of Urban Governance, Paper prepared for UK Department for International Development (DFID) and submitted by DFID to the UN Habitat Global Conference on Urban Development, June 2001 http://www.davidcwilson.com/Waste_Management_An_Indicator_of_Urban_Governance.pdf

Yates, J., and Gulberlet, J, (2011) 'Enhancing Livelihoods and the Urban Environment: The Local Political Framework for Integrated Waste Management in Diadema, Brazil', *Journal of Development Studies*, vol 47, no. 4, pp. 639-656.

Yen-Lien, K: Perrings, C, (2010) 'Wasting Time? Recycling Incentives in Urban Taiwan and Japan, Environmental & Resource Economics', *European Association of Environmental and Resource Economists*, vol. 47(3), pgs 423-437.

Yhdego, M. (1995) 'Urban solid waste management in Tanzania Issues, concepts and challenges', *Resources. Conservation and Recycling*, vol. 14, no 1, pp. 1 – 10.

Transport

Adam Smith International, (2005), 'A Study of Institutional, Financial and Regulatory Frameworks for Urban Transport in Large Sub-Saharan Cities', Sub-Saharan Africa Transport Policy Program (SSATP) Working Paper No. 82, Washington, D.C: World Bank, <http://www4.worldbank.org/afr/ssatp/Resources/SSATP-WorkingPapers/ssatpwp82.pdf>.

-
- Akbar, S. and Campos, J. (2009) *Operationalising political economy: urban bus operations in Dhaka*, South Asia Political Economy and Governance Issues Note No. 1. http://www-wds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/WDSP/IB/2012/06/07/000425962_20120607110827/Rendered/PDF/693780BRIOP1080Bus0OperationsOfinal.pdf
- Akimov, A. and Banister, D. (2011) 'Urban Public Transport in Post-Communist Transition: The Case of Tashkent, Uzbekistan', *Comparative Economic Studies*, vol 53, no. 4 pp. 721–755
- Ariffin, R.N.R.: Zahari, R.K.: (2013) 'Towards a Sustainable Urban Transport System in the Klang Valley, Malaysia: The Key Challenges', *Procedia – Social and Behavioural Sciences*, vol. 85, pgs 638-645.
- Barter, P. and Dotson, E. (2013) Urban Transport Institutions and governance and integrated land use and transport in Singapore, Case study prepared for the Global Report on Human Settlements 2013, Nairobi: UN Habitat
<http://www.unhabitat.org/downloads/docs/GRHS.2013.Case.Study.Singapore.pdf>
- Bassett, T., and Marpillero-Colomina, A. (2013) Sustaining Mobility: Bus Rapid Transit and the Role of Local Politics in Bogota, Latin American Perspectives, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 135-145.
- Borcke, R.: Wrede, M: (2005), 'Political Economy of Commuting Subsidies', *Journal of Urban Economics*, vol. 57, no. 3, pgs. 478-499.
- Bruekner, J.K., and Selod, H., (2006) 'The political economy of urban transport-system choice', *Journal of Public Economics*, vol 90, no. 6-7, pp. 683-1005.
- Chakwizira, J. and Mashiri, A. (2009) The Contribution of Transport Governance to socio-economic development in South Africa, CSIR Working Paper
- De Mello, L.R. (2002) 'Public finance, government spending and economic growth: the case of local governments in Brazil', *Applied Economics*, vol. 34, no. 15, p. 1871-1883(13).
- Dirgahayani, P., and Nakmura, F., (2012) 'Fostering partnerships towards sustainable urban mobility from the national to local level: Matsuyama, Japan and Yogyakarta, Indonesia', *IATSS Research*, vol. 36, no. 1 pgs 48-65.
- Dotson, E. (2011) 'Institutional and political support for Urban transport' in Dimitriou, H.T. and Gakenheimer, R. (eds) *Urban Transport in the Developing World, A Handbook of Policy and Practice*, Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Estache, A, and Gomez-Lobo, A. (2003) 'Limits to Competition in Urban Bus Services in Developing Countries', Policy Research Working Paper Series 3207, Washington, D.C: The World Bank.
- Feitelson, E. and Salomon, I (2004) 'The Political Economy of Transport Innovations', *Transport Developments and Innovations in an Evolving World: Advanced Spatial Science*, 2004, pp. 11-26.
- Forrester, J. and Snell, C. (2006) Understanding the structure of Institutions Responsible for the Delivery of Sustainable Urban Transport, paper for DISTILLATE
<http://www.distillate.ac.uk/outputs/Project%20D%20Structures.pdf>
- Stren, R. (2001) 'Transportation and metropolitan growth', in Friere, M. and Stren, R. (eds) *The Challenge of Urban Government: Policies and Practices*, World Bank Institute
- Gakenheimer, R. (1999) 'Urban mobility in the developing world', *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, vol. 33, iss 7-8, pp. 671-689.

Gwilliam, K., (2003) 'Urban Transport in developing countries', *Transport Reviews*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 197-216(20).

Gwilliam, K. (2001) 'Competition in Urban Passenger Transport in the Developing World', *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy (JTEP)*, vol. 35, no. 1, p. 99-118(20).

Hathaway, J.T. (1993) 'Go-slow': the political economy of urban transport in Nigeria with an emphasis on Ado-Ekiti', *Geoforum*, vol. 24, no. 2 pp. 165-182.

IDL, (2013) *Disordered cities: Analysing Governance in the Urban Transport Sector*, Assessing Governance in the Transport Sector Working Paper No 2, http://www.theidlgrou.com/documents/report_2.pdf

Imran, M. (2009) 'Public Transport in Pakistan: A critical Overview', *Journal of Public Transport*, vol. 12, no 2.

Imran, M. (2010) *Institutional Barriers to Sustainable Urban Transport in Pakistan*. Islamabad: Oxford University Press Pakistan

Kane, L. (2002) 'The urban transport problem in South Africa and the Developing world: a focus on institutional issues', Paper for Urban Transport Research Group, Faculty of Engineering & the Built Environment, University of Cape Town.

Klopp, J.M. (2012) 'Towards a Political Economy of Transportation Policy and Practice in Nairobi', *Urban Forum* vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1-21.

Muhamad, I. and Nicholas, L. (2005) 'Sustainable urban transport in Pakistan: threats and opportunities', *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, vol. 16, no. 5 pp. 505-529(25).

Nandi, S., and Gamkhar, S. (2013) Urban challenges in India: A review of recent policy measures, *Habitat International*, vol. 39, pgs 55-61.

Oni, S. (1999) 'Urban Transportation at State and Local Government Levels', for sixth International Conference on Competition and Ownership in Land Passenger Transport http://www.thredbo-conference-series.org/downloads/thredbo6_papers/Thredbo6-theme5-Oni.pdf

Otegbulu, A. (2013) 'Governance and management of urban infrastructure services in Lagos, Nigeria', *Journal of Public Administration and Policy Research*, vol. 5(1), pp. 8-21.

Pucher, J., Peng, z, Mittal, N., Zhu, Y, and Korattyswaroopam, N, (2007) 'Urban Transport Trends and Policies in China and India: Impacts of Rapid Economic Growth', *Transport Reviews*, Vol. 27, no. 4, p. 379-410.

Rizzo, M., (2002) 'Being taken for a ride: privatisation of the Dar es Salaam transport system 1983-1998', *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, vol. 40, no. 1 pp.133-157.

Sampaio, B., Neto, O., and Sampaio, Y. (2008) 'Efficiency analysis of public transport systems: Lessons for institutional planning', *Transport Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, vol. 42, Iss. 3, pgs 445-464.

Sohail, M., Maunder, D., and Cavill, S. (2006) 'Effective regulation for sustainable public transport in developing countries', *Transport Policy*, vol 13, iss 3, pgs 177-190.

Thin Pin, D., and Dotson, E. (2013) 'Urban Transport Institutions and governance and integrated land use and transport, Ha Noi Vietnam', Case study prepared for Global Report on Human Settlements 2013, Nairobi: UN Habitat.

Tseu, L. (2006) 'Urban Transport Growth: The Challenges ahead – The New Realism and Institutional Changes', Fourth Sabah-Sarawak Environmental Convention
http://www.sabah.gov.my/jpas/news/Conv06/Papers/Pap8_SPU.pdf .

Vaidyanathan, V. and King, R.A. (2012) 'Institutional analysis of urban transportation in Bangalore', *International Journal of System of Systems Engineering*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 168-180(13).

Vaidyanathan, V., King, R.A., and de Jong, M., (2013) 'Understanding urban transportation in India as polycentric system', *Policy and Society*, vol. 32, no. 2, pp. 175-185.

Wu, W. (1999) 'Reforming China's Institutional Environment for Urban Infrastructure provision', *Urban Studies*, Vol 36, No. 13, pp. 2263-2282.

Zakaria, Z. (2003) 'The Institutional Framework for Urban Transportation and Land Use Planning and Management in globalising Kuala Lumpur region', MIT paper.
<http://web.mit.edu/mtransgroup/reports/reports%20pdf%203-25-04/Zakaria%202003%20Institutional%20Framework%20for%20Urban%20Transport%85.pdf>

Water and Sanitation

Adesogan, S. (2013) 'Sewage Technology in Nigeria: A Pragmatic Approach', *Science Journal of Environmental Engineering Research*, vol. 2013

Aiyer, A., (2007) 'The Allure of the Transnational: Notes on Some Aspects of the Political Economy of Water in India' *Cultural Anthropology* vol. 22, no. 4, 640-658.

Araral, E., (2008) The political economy of urban water in India and Southeast Asia, presented at 3rd international conference on public policy, centre for public policy. Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore, India.

Bakker, K. and Krooy, M. (2007) 'Governance Failure: Rethinking the Institutional Dimensions of Urban Water Supply to Poor Households', *World Development*, Vol 36, No. 10.

Basu, S. R. and Main, H. A. C. (2001) 'Calcutta's water supply: demand, governance and environmental change', *Applied Geography*, vol. 21, iss. 1. pp. 23-44.

Bauer, C.J., (1997) 'Bringing water markets down to earth: The political economy of water rights in Chile, 1976-1995', *World Development*, vol. 25, iss. 5, pp. 636-656.

Brown, J. (2011) 'Assuming too much? Participatory water resources governance in South Africa', *The Geographical Journal*, vol. 177, no. 2, pp. 171-185.

Brown, J. (2013) 'Can Participation Change the Geography of Water? Lessons from South Africa', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, vol. 103, no 2, pp. 271-279.

Delwar Akbar, H.M., Minnery, J.R., van Horen, B., and Smith, P. (2007) 'Community water supply for the urban poor in developing countries: the case of Dhaka, Bangladesh', *Habitat International*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 24-35.

Estache, A. and Kouassi, E. (2002) 'Sector Organisation, Governance, and the Inefficiency of African Water Utilities', Policy Research Working Paper 2890, Washington, D.C: The World Bank.

Felgendreher, S. and Lehmann, P. (2012) The Political Economy of the Peruvian Urban Water Sector, UFZ Discussion Papers Leipzig: UFZ

Gerhager, B. and Sahooley, A. (2009) 'Reforming the Urban Water Supply and Sanitation (UWSS) Sector in Yemen', *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, vol 25, no 1, pp. 29-46(18).

Hardoy, A., Hardoy, J., Pandiella, G., and Urquiza, G. (2005) 'Governance for Water and Sanitation services in low-income settlements: experiences with partnership-based management in Moreno, Buenos Aires' *Environment and Urbanisation*, Vol. 17, no. 1 pp. 183-200.

Harris, D., Kooy, M., and Jalloh, G., (2012) *The political economy of the urban water-pricing regime in Freetown, Sierra Leone*, Working Paper 348, London: Overseas Development Institute.

Marques, E. (2012) 'State Institutions, Power, and Social Networks in Brazilian Urban Policies', *Latin American Research Review*, vol. 47, no. 2, pp. 27-50.

McFarlane, C. (2008) 'Governing the Contaminated City: Infrastructure and Sanitation in Colonial and Post-Colonial Bombay', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 32, no.2, pp. 415-435(21).

McKenzie, D. and Ray, I. (2009) 'Urban Water Supply in India: Status, Reform options and possible lessons', *Water Policy*, vol 11, no 4, pp. 442-460.

Moretto, L. (2007) 'Urban Governance and multilateral aid organisations: The case of informal water supply systems', *The Review of International Organisations*, vol. 2, iss. 4, pp. 345-370.

Nilsson, D. (2008) 'Pipes and Politics: a century of change and continuity in Kenyan urban water supply', *Journal of modern African Studies*, vol. 46, no. 1, pp.133-158.

Nwaka, G.I. (2005) The urban informal sector in Nigeria: towards economic development, environmental health and social harmony, *Global Urban Development* vol.1 iss. 1

Osumanu, I. (2008) 'Private Sector Participation in Urban Water and Sanitation Provision in Ghana: Experiences from the Tamale Metropolitan Area (TMA)', *Environmental Management*, vol, 42, no. 1, pp. 102-110(9).

Perreault, T (2008) 'Custom and Contradiction: Rural Water Governance and the Politics of Uso y Costumbres in Bolivia's Irrigators' Movement', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, vol. 98, iss. 4, article 461.

Pierce, G. (2012) 'The Political Economy of Water Service Privatisation in Mexico City, 1994-2011', *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, Vol 28, Iss 4 pp. 675-691

Jusoh, H., Malek, J.A., and Rashid, A. A. (2009), 'The Role of Efficient Urban Governance in managing national development', *Asian Social Science* vol.5, no.8

Roma, E., and Jeffrey, P. (2011) 'Using a diagnostic tool to evaluate the longevity of urban community sanitation systems: A case from Indonesia, Environment', *Development and Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 807-820.

Shanon, F., Shannon, K., and Neville, M., (2009) *Water & Urban Development Paradigms - Towards an integration of engineering, design and management approaches*, Leiden: CRC Press/Balkema

Smitha, K.C. (2001) *Urban Governance and Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board (BWSSB)* <http://www.isec.ac.in/Urban%20Governance.PDF>.

Stren, R.E. (1991) 'Old wine in new bottles? An overview of Africa's urban problems and the 'urban management' approach to dealing with them'. *Environment and Urbanisation*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 9-22.

Swyngedouw, E.A., (1995) 'The contradictions of urban water provision, A Study of Guayaquil, Ecuador', *Third World Planning Review*, vol. 17, no. 4 387-405

Venot, J-P., Bharati L., Giordano, M., and Molle, F. (2011) 'Beyond water, beyond boundaries: spaces of water management in the Krishna river basin, South India', *The Geographical Journal*, vol. 177, no. 2, pp. 160-170.

Walker, I., Velasquez, M., Ordonez, F., and Rodriguez, F. (1997), Regulation, Organisation and Incentives: the political economy of potable water services in Honduras, Washington, D.C: Inter-American Development Bank.

Whitfield, L. (2006) 'The Politics of Water Reform in Ghana', *Review of African Political Economy* no.109: 425-448

Winters, M., Karim. A.G., and Martawardeya, B. (2013) Public Service Provision under Conditions of insufficient Citizen Demand: insights from the Urban Sanitation Sector in Indonesia. Available at http://www.academia.edu/6122363/Public_Service_Provision_under_Conditions_of_Insufficient_Citizen_Demand_Insights_from_the_Urban_Sanitation_Sector_in_Indonesia.

World Bank, (2011) *The Political Economy of Sanitation: How can we increase investment and improve service for the poor?* Water and Sanitation Programme (WSP), Washington, D.C: World Bank

WSP (2009) Urban Sanitation in Indonesia: Planning for Progress. Fieldnote http://www.wsp.org/sites/wsp.org/files/publications/Urban_San_Indonesia.pdf.

Yeboah, I. (2006) 'Subaltern strategies and development practice: urban water privatisation in Ghana, *The Geographical Journal*, vol. 172, no. 1 pp. 50-65.

Zhong, L., Mol, P.J., Fu, T, (2008) Public-Private Partnerships in China's Urban Water Sector', *Environmental Management*, vol. 41, iss. 6, pp. 863-877.

Housing

Aguilar, Ward, Smith (2003) 'Globalisation, regional development and mega-city expansion in Latin America: Analysing Mexico City's peri-urban hinterland', *Cities*, vol.20, iss.1, pp3-21.

Appduriai, A. (2001) 'Deep Democracy: urban governmentality and the horizon of politics', *Environment and Urbanisation*, Vol. 13, No., 2, pp. 23-43.

Baud, I., Sridharan, N., and Pfeffer, K. (2008) 'Mapping Urban Poverty for Local Governance in an Indian Mega-City: The Case of Delhi', *Urban Development*, vol. 45, no. 7, 1385-1412.

Begum, A. (2007) 'Urban Housing as an issue of Redistribution through Planning? The Case of Dhaka City', *Social Policy & Administration*, vol. 41, iss. 4, pp. 410-418.

Daniels, T., (2010) 'Urban Space, Belonging, and Inequality in Multi-Ethnic Housing Estates of Melaka, Malaysia', *Global Studies in Culture and Power*, vol. 17, pp. 176-203.

Donaghy, (2013) *Civil Society and Participatory Governance: Municipal Councils and Social Housing Programs in Brazil*, Routledge research in Latin American politics, Abingdon: Routledge

-
- Duncan, R. (2011), *The Political Economy of Economic Reform in the Pacific*, Asian Development Bank Report, Manila: ADB
- Eckstein, S. (1990) 'Urbanisation revisited: Inner-city slum of hope and squatter of hope and squatter settlement of despair', *World Development*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp 165-181.
- Fox, S, 2013, The political economy of slums: Theory and evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa, London School of Economics and Political Science Development Studies Institute Working Paper No. 13-146, London: LSE
- Harriss, J. (2007), 'Antinomies of Empowerment, Observations of Civil Society, Politics and Urban Governance in India', *Economic and Political Weekly*, Vol 42. No. 26, 2716-2724
- Huang, Y., and Jiang, L, (2009), 'Housing Inequality in Transitional Beijing', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 33, iss. 4, pp. 936-956
- Jha, S. (2007) 'Governance in the Gullies: Democratic Responsiveness and Leadership in Delhi's Slums', *World Development*, vol. 35, No. 2, p. 320-246.
- Jiang, D. (2012) 'Relations between economic inequality and political dynamics in contemporary China: an urban development planning perspective with evidence from the housing sector', DPU Working Paper no. 148.
- Jibao, S. and Prichard, W. (2013) *Rebuilding Local Government Finance After Conflict, The Political Economy of Property Tax Reform in Post-Conflict Sierra Leone*, CTD Working Paper 12, Sussex: IDS
- Kombe, W.J. (2005) 'Land use dynamics in peri-urban areas and their implications on the urban growth and form: the case of Dar es Salaam, Tanzania', *Habitat International*, vol 29, iss 1, pp. 113-135.
- Lee, J. and Zhu, Y. (2006) 'Urban Governance, neoliberalism and housing reform in China', *The Pacific Review*, vol. 19, iss. 1 pp 36-61
- Lee, J., (2008) 'Productivism, Developmentalism and the Shaping of Urban Order: Integrating Public Housing and Social Security in Singapore', *Urban Policy and Research*, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp- 271-282.
- Liu, Z., Wang, Y., and Tao, R. (2013) 'Social Capital and Migrant Housing Experiences in Urban China: A Structural Equation Modeling Analysis' *Housing Studies*, vol. 28 iss. 8 pp 1155-1174
- Ma, L. (2002) 'Urban transformation in China, 1949 - 2000: a review and research agenda', *Environment and Planning* vol. 34 iss. 9, pp. 1545 - 1569
- Madon, S. and Sahay, S. (2002) 'An Information-Based Model of NGO Mediation for the Empowerment of Slum Dwellers in Bangalore' *The Information Society: An International Journal* vol. 18, iss. 1 pp 13-19
- Maxwell, D. (1999) 'The Political Economy of Urban Food Security in Sub-Saharan Africa', *World Development* vol. 27, no. 11, pp. 1939-1953.
- Millner, A. H., Reisinger, W. M., Hesli, V. L., Lunk, H., Salmen, M., Heldemann, D., and Sen, S. (1998) 'On the origins and reasons behind nonprofit involvement and non-involvement in low income housing in urban India', *Cities* vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 257-268.

-
- Navarro, I. and Turnbull, G.K. (2010) 'The Legacy of Squatter Settlements on Urban Redevelopment' in Beall, J., Guha-Khasnobis, B. and Kanbur, R. (eds) *Urbanisation and Development: Multidisciplinary Perspectives*, Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Nwaka, G. (2005) 'The urban informal sector in Nigeria: Towards Economic Development, environmental health and social harmony', *Global Urban Development* vol. 1 iss. 1
- Posner, P. W. (2012) 'Targeted Assistance and Social Capital: Housing Policy in Chile's Neoliberal Democracy', *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, vol. 36, iss 1 pgs 49-70.
- Raftery, P, Chiang, H, T (1998) Globalisation and construction industry development: implications of recent developments in the construction sector in Asia, *Construction Management and Economics*, vol. 16, iss. 6, pp 729-737
- Rasid, (2009) 'Strategies to Reduce Exclusion among populations living in Urban Slum Settlements', *Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition*, vol. 27 iss. 4 pp. 574-586
- Rondinelli, D. (1990) 'Housing the urban Poor in Developing Countries: The Magnitude of Housing Deficiencies and the Failure of conventional Strategies Are World-Wide Problems', *The American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, vol. 49, iss. 2
- Sandhu, K. (2013) 'Formal housing finance outreach and the urban poor in India', *International Journal of Housing Markets and Analysis*, Vol. 6., Issue 3., pp. 269-283.
- Shatkin, G. (2004) 'Planning to Forget: Informal Settlements as 'Forgotten Places' in Globalising Metro Manila', *Urban Studies*, vol. 41, no. 12, pp. 2469-2484.
- Skyner, L. (2005) 'Rehousing and refinancing Russia: Creating Access to Affordable Mortgaging', *Europe-Asia studies*, vol. 57, no. 4, pp 561-581
- Tomlinson, R. (2003) *Emerging Johannesburg: Perspectives on the Postapartheid City*, Abingdon: Routledge
- Wang, P. (2000) 'Housing Reform and its Impact on the Urban Poor in China', *Housing Studies*, vol 15., no. 6 pp. 845-864
- Wang, Y. P., (2003) *Urban Poverty, Housing and Social Change in China*, New York: Routledge
- Wang, Y-P. (2001) 'Urban Housing Reform and Finance in China, A Case study of Beijing', *Urban Affairs Review*, vol. 36, no.5, pp. 620-645.
- Wapera, S.D., Parsa, A. and Egbu, C. (2011) 'Financing low income housing in Nigeria', *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*, vol. 16, no. 3 pp. 283-301
- Windapo, A.O. and Goulding J. (2013) 'Value-based perspectives of stakeholders' building requirements in low cost and government subsidised housing projects in South Africa' *Construction Innovation: Information, Process, Management* , vol. 13 iss. 4 pp. 424-444
- Wu, F. (2001) 'China's recent urban development in the process of land and housing marketisation and economic globalisation', *Habitat International*, vol. 25, iss. 3, pp. 273-289.
- Yacobi, H. and Shechter, R., (2005) 'Rethinking Cities in the Middle East: political economy, planning and the lived space', *The Journal of Architecture*, vol 10, no. 5, 1360-2365.
- Zerah, M-H. (2009) 'Participatory Governance in Urban Management and the Shifting Geometry of Power in Mumbai', *Development and change*, vol 40, no 5 pp. 853-877.

Zhang, T. (2002) 'Urban Development and a Socialist Pro-Growth Coalition in Shanghai', *Urban Affairs Review*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 475-499.

Emergency services

Asian Disaster Preparedness Center, (2004) *Reducing Fire Threats to Homes: piloting community-based fire risk assessment in Ban Hatsady village, Bangkok*: ADPC.

Huang, C-H., Chen, W-J., Ma, M., Lai, C-L., Lin, F-Y. and Lee, Y-T. (2001) 'Ambulance Utilization in Metropolitan and Rural Areas in Taiwan', *Journal Formos Medical Association*, 100: 581-6.

IRIN (2011) 'Kenya: Slum fires highlight urban preparedness gap'
<http://www.preventionweb.net/english/professional/news/v.php?id=19601>.

Joshi, M.K., Shah, H.S., Patel, P.R., and Divatia, P.A. (2004) 'Trauma care systems in India – an overview', *Indian Journal of Critical Care Medicine*, Vol. 8, Issue 2, 93-97.

Olorunfemi, F.B. and Adebimpe, R.U. (2008) Sustainable Disaster Risk Reduction in Nigeria: Lessons for Developing Countries' *African Research Review*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 187-217

Waseem, H., Naseer, R., and Razzak, J.A. (2011) 'Establishing a successful pre-hospital emergency service in a developing country: experience from Rescue 1122 service in Pakistan', *Emergency Medical Journal*, Volume 28, Issue 6, 513-515.

Appendix: Search protocols

Inclusion/exclusion criteria

Sources must:

1. Be in English
2. Be published in or after 1990
3. Focus primarily on urban or peri-urban areas
4. Include a focus on developing countries (i.e. either just developing country-focused, or both developed and developing)
5. Include an examination of the delivery of, or need for, one of the 8 services listed above (a to h)
6. Analyse how governance or political economy factors affect the delivery of that service

Search strategy

Sources were retrieved through two approaches: searching for agreed ‘search strings’ on a set number of search engines, and snowballing.

The following engines were used to search for potential sources:

- Ingenta Connect (<http://www.ingentaconnect.com/>)
- JSTOR (<http://www.jstor.org/>)
- Google Scholar (<http://scholar.google.co.uk/>)
- Google (<https://www.google.co.uk/>)

The relevance of the sources will be judged using the inclusion/exclusion criteria. This will be done based on the full text if freely available, but otherwise only on abstracts. Texts included only based on abstracts will be reviewed for inclusion once full texts have been accessed, at a later date.

Where over 50 hits were returned, only the first 50 were assessed. Relevant sources were recorded in a spreadsheet format with fields for all the relevant details (author, date, title, abstract, etc).

The ‘snowballing’ search involved the following:

- Searching through documents referenced by selected ‘key papers’⁷
- Searching through documents that reference the ‘key papers’

⁷ An initial set have been selected by the research team, further ‘key papers’ will be selected on an ongoing basis by the team.

-
- Searching the website of key organisations working in the field

Sources retrieved through snowballing will be judged for inclusion/exclusion based on the same criteria (above).

Search strings

The following search strings were used, exactly as listed below.

Transport

Urban AND transport AND governance

Urban AND transport AND “political economy”

Urban AND transport AND politics

Urban AND transport AND incentive

Urban AND transport AND institutional

Urban AND traffic AND governance

Urban AND traffic AND “political economy”

Urban AND transit AND governance

Urban AND transit AND “political economy”

Solid Waste Management

Urban AND waste AND governance

Urban AND waste AND “political economy”

Urban AND waste AND politics

Urban AND waste AND incentive

Urban AND waste AND institutional

Urban AND garbage AND governance

Urban AND garbage AND “political economy”

Urban AND rubbish AND governance

Urban AND rubbish AND “political economy”

Housing services

Urban AND “social housing” AND governance

Urban AND “social housing” AND “political economy”

Urban AND “social housing” AND politics

Urban AND “social housing” AND incentive

Urban AND “social housing” AND institutional

Emergency services

Urban AND fire AND governance

Urban AND fire AND “political economy”

Urban AND fire AND politics

Urban AND fire AND incentive

Urban AND fire AND institutional

Urban AND emergency AND governance

Urban AND emergency AND “political economy”

Urban AND ambulance AND governance

Urban AND ambulance AND “political economy”

Urban AND rescue AND governance

Urban AND rescue AND “political economy”

Water and sanitation

Urban AND sewerage AND governance

Urban AND sewerage AND “political economy”

Urban AND sewerage AND politics

Urban AND water AND governance

Urban AND water AND “political economy”

Urban AND sanitation AND governance

Urban AND sanitation AND “political economy”

Multiple services

Urban AND “service delivery” AND governance

Urban AND “service delivery” AND “political economy”

Urban AND “service delivery” AND institutional

Urban AND “service delivery” AND incentive

Urban AND “service delivery” AND politics

“Urban service delivery” AND governance

“Urban service delivery” AND “political economy”



ODI is the UK's leading independent think tank on international development and humanitarian issues.

Our mission is to inspire and inform policy and practice which lead to the reduction of poverty, the alleviation of suffering and the achievement of sustainable livelihoods.

We do this by locking together high-quality applied research, practical policy advice and policy-focused dissemination and debate.

We work with partners in the public and private sectors, in both developing and developed countries.

Readers are encouraged to reproduce material from ODI Reports for their own publications, as long as they are not being sold commercially. As copyright holder, ODI requests due acknowledgement and a copy of the publication. For online use, we ask readers to link to the original resource on the ODI website. The views presented in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of ODI.

© Overseas Development Institute 2014. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial Licence (CC BY-NC 3.0).

ISSN: 2052-7209

Overseas Development Institute
203 Blackfriars Road
London SE1 8NJ
Tel +44 (0)20 7922 0300
Fax +44 (0)20 7922 0399



This material has been funded by UK aid from the UK Government, however the views expressed do not necessarily reflect the UK Government's official policies.