



# A rough guide to emerging consensus and divergence in post-2015 goal areas

Gina Bergh and Jonathan Couturier

November 2013

To read the summary analysis based on these Annexes please see [A rough guide to emerging consensus and divergence in post-2015 goal areas](#).

---

# Abbreviations

<b>BGLP:</b>	Brazil Ground Level Panel
<b>CAFOD:</b>	Catholic Agency for Overseas Development
<b>CSOs:</b>	Civil Society Organisations
<b>EGLP:</b>	Egypt Ground Level Panel
<b>GCAP:</b>	Global Call to Action Against Poverty
<b>HLP:</b>	High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 Development Agenda
<b>IFP:</b>	International Forum of National NGO Platforms
<b>IGLP:</b>	India Ground Level Panel
<b>ILO</b>	International Labor Organization
<b>IOM</b>	International Organization for Migration
<b>MDGs</b>	Millennium Development Goals
<b>OHCHR</b>	United Nations Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights
<b>SDSN:</b>	United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network
<b>UGLP:</b>	Uganda Ground Level Panel
<b>UNDESA</b>	United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs
<b>UNDG:</b>	United Nations Development Group
<b>UNDP</b>	United Nations Development Program
<b>UNFPA</b>	United Nations Population Fund
<b>UNGC:</b>	United Nations Global Compact
<b>UN-HABITAT</b>	United Nations Human Settlements Programme
<b>UNICEF</b>	United Nations Children's Fund
<b>UNSG:</b>	United Nations Secretary-General
<b>WHO:</b>	World Health Organization

---

# Annex I: Goals featured in most key proposals

## Education

Education features as a goal across all four of the key proposals, and almost a quarter of all proposals recorded in the [Future Goals Tracker](#)<sup>1</sup> address it as a goal or target area.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Provide Quality Education and Lifelong Learning*

**SDSN:** *Ensure effective learning for all children and youth for life and livelihood*

**UNGC:** *Quality education for all*

**UNSG:** *Provide quality education and lifelong learning*



### Similar approaches

- There is a continued focus on education access, and all proposals expand significantly from this into a wide range of new target objectives, particularly lifelong learning and the quality of education. However, approaches to ensuring access vary.
- All proposals reviewed highlight the links between education and employment. The focus here is on technical and vocational skills for employment (or self-employment); targets propose increasing the % of young adults or adults with the skills for employment (e.g. HLP; UNGC), or focusing on unemployment rates (e.g. SDSN).
  - Civil society has called for ‘practical’ skills for entrepreneurship (UGLP, 2013) and skills that are ‘relevant to work and life’ (UNDG, 2013b).
- Civil society and institutional proposals agree on the need for improving the quality of education by improving learning outcomes.
  - Most institutional proposals focus within this on literacy and numeracy (HLP; SDSN; UNGC, 2013); and few address drop-out / completion rates (SDSN; UNDG, 2013b).
- Gender parity is not consistently addressed, however most proposals address gender parity implicitly within wording on access, e.g. ‘all girls and boys’; ‘every child’ / ‘all children’.
  - Civil society consultations highlight the importance of girls and women also having access to post-primary and post-secondary learning opportunities (UNDG, 2013b).

### Divergences

- There is divergence in proposals on the level of education that all children or adults should have access to, e.g. just primary (UNGC); primary and lower-secondary (HLP); early childhood development, primary, secondary and lifelong learning for employment (SDSN); early childhood development through to post-primary schooling, life skills and vocational skills (UNSG; UNDG, 2013b).

---

<sup>1</sup> Individual organisations’ contributions were not assessed here, but can be consulted at the [Future Goals Tracker](#) database of proposals for post-2015 goals, and are represented in the accompanying graphs reflecting the number of proposals in each specific area. The Future Goals Tracker is the world’s most comprehensive, regularly updated database of proposals for new goals.

- SDSN frames education and effective learning as cross-cutting, with a range of implications outlined across its four dimensions of sustainable development ('Economic Development and Eradication of Poverty; Social Inclusion; Environmental Sustainability; Governance, Peace and Security').
- UNGC, SDSN and civil society (UNDG, 2013b) propose that education curricula should integrate sustainable development concepts at all levels, and others propose investment in 'transformative' education to tackle discriminatory social norms (Burns et al., 2013).
- UNGC and civil society proposals mention the importance of adequate and safe educational facilities, with an emphasis in the UNGC proposal on computer and digital facilities, and within civil society consultations on a range of dimensions of 'healthy learning environments' ('safe, disaster sensitive school buildings and classrooms, safe and clear drinking water school feeding programmes') (UNDG, 2013a).
- Civil society inputs also call for education in conflict and disaster zones, and for participatory governance structures in education (e.g. UNICEF & UNESCO, 2013).

## Gender

Gender features as a goal in three of the four main institutional proposals, and in the fourth as a key aspect of a wider goal on exclusion and inequality. 14 per cent of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address gender as a goal or target area.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** Empower girls and women and achieve gender equality

**SDSN:** Achieve gender equality, social inclusion, and human rights for all

**UNGC:** Achieve women and girls' empowerment

**UNSG:** Tackle Exclusion and Inequality



### Similar approaches

- There is consensus on preventing and ending violence against women and girls (/children) across all of the proposals reviewed.
  - Most proposals specify 'zero tolerance' (UNSG) or 'preventing and eliminating' all forms of violence against women and girls /children (HLP; SDSN), while one (UNGC) proposes a target to reduce it by at least 50 per cent.
  - Specific aspects mentioned in civil society proposals include domestic violence (CAFOD), or sexual violence and freedom from fear (UNDG, 2013a); the UNSG report also mentions the exploitation of women and girls.
- Proposals agree on promoting empowerment and ending discrimination against women and girls.
  - Within this most specify the importance of women's participation in political, economic and public life (HLP; SDSN; UNSG; UNICEF & UN Women, 2013; UNDG, 2013b);
  - UNGC puts forward a narrower proposal for a target on the proportion of women in leadership positions.
- There is also convergence among proposals on equal rights for women in a range of areas, particularly owning or inheriting land or property (HLP; UNGC; Burns et al., 2013); equal pay for equal work (UNGC; UNSG).
- Almost all proposals call for data disaggregation by gender for monitoring post-2015 goals.

## Divergences

- Civil society proposals highlight the link between gender discrimination and social norms, specifically calling for discriminatory social norms to be tackled (CAFOD; 62 CSOs; UNDG, 2013b). Some call for gender responsive and rights-based governance systems (UNDG, 2013b), and for equal opportunity (IGLP, 2013).
- Only the UNSG's report links women's empowerment with skills development.
- Only some proposals address ending child marriage (HLP; UNSG; UNICEF & UN Women, 2013), and only some (mainly civil society) inputs address the burden of care that women face (addressed by CAFOD; UNICEF & UN Women, 2013).

## Health

Health features as a goal across all four key institutional proposals, and it is addressed in over a quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Ensure Healthy Lives*

**SDSN:** *Achieve Health and Wellbeing at all Ages*

**UNGC:** *Universal health coverage*

**UNSG:** *Improve health*



### Similar approaches

- All proposals except that of the HLP put forward a target for universal health coverage, however the HLP notes UHC is implicit within its targets.
- There is strong consensus on reducing or ending preventable maternal and child (under-5) mortality.
- Some proposals seek to ensure sexual and reproductive health and rights (HLP; UNSG), while others propose sexual and reproductive health or healthcare and family planning (SDSN; UNGC), with no mention of 'rights'. Other inputs are mixed, but most refer to sexual and reproductive health rather than rights (UNDG, 2013b; WHO & UNICEF; 62 CSOs).
- All proposals seek to reduce or end non-communicable and communicable diseases, particularly HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis and malaria (HLP report, UNGC; UNSG report), and some highlight additional priorities, e.g. the HLP report also mentions 'neglected tropical diseases and priority non-communicable diseases'; and the UNSG report also seeks to 'reduce the burden of non-communicable diseases, including mental illness, and road accidents'.
- Several proposals suggest targets to expand immunisation rates, including the HLP, SDSN, UNSG and civil society consultations (UNDG, 2013b).

## Divergences

- Some proposals focus on lifestyle choices or environmental factors within health objectives:
  - The SDSN proposal calls for healthy diets, physical activity, and avoiding unhealthy behaviours (e.g. smoking and excessive alcohol intake); while the UNSG report calls in general for promoting 'healthy behaviours'.
  - Several civil society proposals outline links between environmental damage and health (UNDG, 2013a); curbing alcohol and addictive substance abuse and promoting mental health (IGLP, 2013) and overall well-being (UGLP; WHO & UNICEF, 2013).

- Civil society points specifically to women’s and children’s health and diseases (UNDG, 2013a), and chronic malnutrition (UNDG, 2013b).
- Some proposals mention the link between health and water and sanitation (UNSG; UNDG, 2013a).

## Energy

Energy features as a goal across three of the main institutional proposals and within the fourth as a key aspect of the goal on inclusive and sustainable growth and decent employment. 13 per cent of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address energy.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Secure Sustainable Energy*

**SDSN:** *Curb Human-Induced Climate Change and Ensure Clean Energy for All (+ targets within other goals)*

**UNGC:** *Sustainable energy for all*

**UNSG:** *Promote inclusive and sustainable growth and decent employment – Target on sustainable energy*



### Similar approaches

- There is consensus amongst institutional proposals and in civil society consultations on a goal for universal access to sustainable energy (HLP; SDSN; UNGC; UNDG, 2013b; World We Want, 2013a), with some specifying ‘modern energy services’ (HLP; UNGC). Most emphasise the importance of technological solutions to bring this about, and some call for new partnerships to facilitate the necessary financing and infrastructure.
- Almost all proposals contain targets on the share of renewable energies in the mix of energy supply.
  - The HLP and UNGC propose doubling the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix, SDSN proposes decarbonizing the energy system, and consultations suggest increasing the share of renewable energy (UNDG, 2013b; World We Want, 2013a).
- Almost all proposals advocate for improving energy efficiency (HLP; SDSN; UNGC; UNDG, 2013b; World We Want, 2013a), and SDSN identifies the importance of technology transfer for this.
- Most institutional proposals agree on a need to transition to clean energies in a way that does not hamper economic growth or universal access, pointing to the need for common but differentiated responsibilities in a new framework that takes account of countries’ different stages of development.
- Most institutional proposals agree on a need to phase out fossil fuel subsidies.
  - The SDSN goes further in identifying a need for “incentives, including pricing greenhouse gas emissions, to curb climate change and promote technology transfer to developing countries”.

### Divergences

- The SDSN report focuses on the links between energy and climate change, calling for transformations in our economic structure and way of life, while other proposals take a narrower technical approach in favour of cleaner and smarter energy provision, without making explicit any implications for wider economic and social systems.
- The HLP and SDSN touch on the question of financing energy systems transition in the global south.
- UNGC includes a target to reduce particulate concentration of urban air pollution by 50%.

## Poverty

Poverty features as a goal across all four institutional proposals, and income poverty is addressed as a goal or target area in 14 per cent of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker.

**Degree of similarity:** ★ ★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *End Poverty*

**SDSN:** *End poverty and increase prosperity via inclusive economic growth*

**UNGC:** *End poverty and increase prosperity via inclusive economic growth*

**UNSG:** *Eradicate poverty in all its forms*



### Similar approaches

- All institutional proposals put forward a target to end (or ‘bring to zero’) absolute income poverty, defined as people living on less than \$1.25 a day.
  - Several institutional and civil society proposals note the need to raise the poverty line in relation to the context – for example the HLP proposes also reducing ‘the share of people living below their country’s 2015 national poverty line’ and urges countries to “adjust their poverty line upwards over time” (HLP).
- All institutional proposals mention inclusive poverty-reducing growth as an instrument to tackle poverty, and most focus within this on employment, entrepreneurship and productivity.
  - The HLP and civil society focus in particular on attaining self-sufficiency through access to land and other assets: the HLP includes a target on ‘secure rights to land, property and other assets’, and several civil society inputs point to the importance of secure land access /rights.
- Almost all institutional proposals (except UNGC) and inputs from civil society identify vulnerability to risks and shocks as a dimension of poverty that needs to be addressed within a poverty goal, pointing to disaster risk reduction as an important objective.
  - Proposals highlight the risks posed by both environmental /natural disasters (UNSG; HLP), and insecurity /violence and conflict (UNSG; SDSN).
  - The HLP proposal includes a target on social protection coverage and to “build resilience and reduce deaths from natural disasters”.
  - The UNSG and civil society inputs propose investing and building resilience in those communities most vulnerable to disaster risk (UNSG, UNDG, 2013a, Beyond 2015 et al., UNDG, 2013b).
  - The SDSN proposal frames disaster risk reduction specifically in the context of cities investing in resilience alongside other threats of climate change (SDSN).
- The HLP proposal and civil society inputs conceive of poverty as multidimensional, and the UNSG calls for a ‘multifaceted approach’ to poverty including both immediate and underlying factors.
  - Civil society inputs focus primarily on the inadequacy of present definitions of poverty, and expanding the concept of poverty from the focus on incomes to many other areas, particularly self-sufficiency and well-being.
  - The HLP highlights that world leaders have acknowledged a range of features and risk factors to poverty beyond incomes.
- Although reports point out the need to make progress in both poverty and environment objectives, few make concrete proposals for goals or targets to do this in an integrated way.
  - The UNSG and SDSN reports seek to integrate poverty and environment objectives: the SDSN addresses poverty within its four dimensions of sustainable development (alongside social inclusion, environmental

sustainability and governance), and the UNSG states that: “poverty needs to be tackled in the frame of sustainable development, not as a separate problem.”

- Several civil society inputs mention the links between poverty and environment objectives, but beyond proposals on disaster resilience there are few specific targets proposed that address these together.

### **Divergences**

- Some civil society inputs advocate for a rights-based approach to addressing poverty, e.g. the *Participate* study proposes introducing quotas for the most marginalised to access services, and ensuring programme success indicators ‘are linked to positive impacts for the poorest and most marginalised’ (Burns et al., 2013).
- Several civil society inputs discuss poverty as deeply linked with much wider issues of policy coherence, including the need for reform in financial markets, tax, trade and intellectual property rights (e.g. 62 CSOs, 2013).
- Only SDSN focuses on hunger as a key dimension of poverty.
- UNGC is the only institutional proposal to build employment /decent work and child labour targets into a poverty goal, and the only one to mention a target on reducing the Gini coefficient within its goal area.

## **Food Security**

Food security features as a goal across all four institutional proposals, and is addressed in a quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker.

**Degree of similarity:** ★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Ensure food security and good nutrition*

**SDSN:** *(1) End Extreme Poverty including Hunger; (2) Improve Agriculture Systems and Raise Rural Prosperity*

**UNGC:** *Good nutrition for all through sustainable food and agricultural systems*

**UNSG:** *End hunger and malnutrition*



### **Similar approaches**

- All proposals agree on ending hunger, and most propose ending malnutrition (SDSN; UNGC; UNSG; UNDG, 2013b; Beyond 2015 et al.; World We Want, 2013b). Some proposals also put forward targets to address stunting (HLP; UNGC; UNSG).
- Most proposals call for increasing agricultural productivity in a sustainable manner in order to bring about greater food security and nutrition.
- Sustainable food production is a strong theme across proposals, with some specifically noting the need to rebuild fish stocks (HLP, UNGC); and others the sustainability of agricultural practices in general (HLP; SDSN; UNGC; UNSG; UNDG, 2013b; World We Want, 2013b).
- Almost all proposals (except the report of the UNSG) note the need for a target to reduce food loss and waste (post-harvest).

### **Divergences**

- SDSN proposes universal access in rural areas to basic resources and infrastructure services necessary for agricultural production. Several civil society inputs have a similar focus, on the themes of ‘self-sufficiency’, ‘empowerment’ and ‘ownership’ of means of production to achieve food-security, while institutional reports focus more on the role of agri-business in improving rural livelihoods.



- Specifically, civil society proposals highlight the importance of rural-urban linkages and employment along the food chain, and the need to prioritise the needs of women and small scale producers (UNDG, 2013b; IGLP, 2013).
- Civil society inputs also mention the need to end ‘practices that contribute to hunger’, including agricultural subsidies and land grabbing (Beyond 2015 et al.; CAFOD, 2013).
- UNGC proposes that ending hunger and food insecurity will require ‘stable and adequate incomes for all’ and ‘child and maternal care and strengthened social protection for vulnerable populations’.


## Water & Sanitation

Water or water and sanitation feature as a goal in three of the institutional proposals, and in the fourth within the wider goal on environmental challenges. A quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address water and sanitation.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★

**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

- HLP:** *Achieve Universal Access to Water and Sanitation*
- SDSN:** *Secure Ecosystem Services, Biodiversity and ensure Good Management of Water and other Natural Resources (+ targets within other goals)*
- UNGC:** *Water and sanitation for all*
- UNSG:** *Address environmental challenges*



### Similar approaches

- Most proposals cover the need for more sustainable water management, although they diverge in their specific approaches to this. The HLP, SDSN and UNGC state the need for targets that bring freshwater use in line with supply, and others, including civil society inputs, focus on the need for sustainable and/or integrated water management (often in the context of wider sustainable resource use) (SDSN, UNSG, UNDG, 2013b; UNDG, 2013a).
- Some proposals, including from civil society, suggest that there should be targets for wastewater management at the national level (HLP; UNGC; UNDG, 2013b; UN-Water). The SDSN places the focus here on transparency and accountability for pollution and use of environmental services, where all users (individuals, governments and businesses) pay the social cost of this.
- Most propose universal access to drinking water and sanitation, although specific targets vary (HLP, SDSN, UNGC, UNDG, 2013b).

### Divergences

- In those proposals that frame water in the context of wider resource and environmental sustainability objectives, there is a particular focus on making sure the cost of water/resource usage is factored in by all stakeholders, so that resource use reflects its environmental cost.
  - In contrast, the proposals of the HLP and the UNGC focus more on water usage, WASH, and the cross-cutting impact on health and life chances. They emphasise the role of infrastructure in service delivery and hygiene objectives.
- While institutional proposals in water management focus mainly on a technical approach, civil society proposals identify more governance-related factors around who should be involved in the management of supply, cross-border flows, and infrastructure services. Civil society inputs covering this identify problematic governance in the water sector (UNDG, 2013a; UN-Water; UNDG, 2013b).

- Civil society inputs to the UNDG consultation (2013b) specify the role of governments in managing competing demands and water security at the local, national, regional and global level, and the need for funding mechanisms to facilitate effective water resources management.
- SDSN highlights the need to address water pollution and adverse trans-boundary impacts.
- Civil society proposals also diverge in identifying the need to focus on the quality of water or wastewater management, arguing that the focus has been skewed during the MDGs towards access and not enough on improving services.

## Inclusive Growth & Employment

Inclusive growth features at the goal level across all four institutional proposals, and almost a quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address equitable growth and employment.

**Degree of similarity:** ★ ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Create Jobs, Sustainable Livelihoods and Equitable Growth*

**SDSN:** *(1) End poverty and increase prosperity via inclusive economic growth (2) Achieve Development within Planetary Boundaries (target for each country to reach the next income level)*

**UNGC:** *End poverty and increase prosperity via inclusive economic growth*

**UNSG:** *Promote inclusive and sustainable growth and decent employment*



### Similar approaches

- The key institutional proposals agree strongly on the need for more sustainable and inclusive growth.
- Most institutional proposals (HLP, SDSN, UNSG) suggest that GDP is not an adequate measure of what is valued, and that it will be necessary to devise new measures that also value the environment and wellbeing.
- Institutional proposals seek to support enterprise development and economic activity, particularly through improved access to finance, including for women and marginalised groups (UNGC; HLP), and through improved infrastructure and services (HLP; UNGC).
  - Most proposals mention an enabling environment for entrepreneurship or reducing barriers to starting a new business (HLP; UNGC; UGLP, UNDP & ILO).
  - Civil society inputs differ here in that they mainly focus on small, micro and informal businesses as opposed to broad support for an enabling business environment.
- Most UN and civil society proposals note the importance of raising productivity or productive capacity: either by raising labour productivity (UNGC) or economic productivity as a whole, moving towards more value added and/or diverse forms of production, and generating higher-value goods or jobs (HLP; UNGC; UNSG UNDG, 2013b, UNDP & ILO and UNDG, 2013a).
- Most proposals highlight the need for coherent employment-generating economic policies (UNSG; UNDG, 2013a; UNDG, 2013b; UNDP & ILO; HLP). Institutional proposals include targets on increasing employment (HLP) or reducing unemployment (UNGC; SDSN).
  - Most proposals specify the need to support youth employment and entrepreneurship (often in skills-related aspects of education proposals), and many also make explicit the need for labour market policies to target groups in addition to youth (particularly women, marginalised groups, those with disabilities).

- 
- Proposals address the need for more inclusive and equitable growth, though some civil society inputs and the SDSN differ in their approach.
  - More and better job opportunities ('decent jobs /employment') are identified across UN and civil society proposals as key to inclusive growth or social inclusion. Civil society inputs agree on the need to focus on the quantity and quality of jobs.
  - Most proposals address social protection for those out of work. Civil society inputs highlight the need to safeguard social security (BGLP, IGLP, UNDG, 2013a, UNDP & ILO, CAFOD; UNDG, 2013b), and the UNGC, UNSG and HLP call for social protection systems.
  - There is some overlap with the education theme, which covers proposals on skills and training for employment. Proposals in education highlight in particular the need for youth skills and matching these with labour market demand.

### ***Divergences***

- While there is consensus on the need for more inclusive, sustainable growth, different Institutional proposals outline different ways to get there.
  - UNGC discusses 'green growth' with a strong focus on employment and enhanced CSR (ensuring companies 'do no harm' and also support more sustainable inclusive growth);
  - The UNSG places much emphasis on decent employment and redistributive policies (alongside environmental sustainability);
  - SDSN proposes decoupling growth from unsustainable patterns of production and consumption, with a narrative on "the right to development within planetary boundaries";
  - The HLP outlines targets on jobs and enterprise development, along with actions to support businesses (improvements in infrastructure, effective and efficient governance, cross-border collaboration to facilitate trade in new markets and responsible business practice in line with principles of transparency and accountability).
- Some Institutional proposals combine inclusive or equitable growth objectives with employment in the same proposed goal (HLP; UNSG), while some combine growth and poverty in a single goal (SDSN; UNGC).
- The HLP and UNGC have a stronger emphasis on an enabling environment for business and entrepreneurship than other UN and civil society approaches. For instance, with targets on the number of new start-ups and the value-added of new products (HLP); UNGC specifically highlights the need to remove barriers to entry for new businesses.
- Civil society inputs in contrast have a stronger focus on small, micro and informal enterprises. Consultations recommended special support measures for these enterprises, such as better access to finance, business development services, strengthening their legal, commercial and property rights (UNDG, 2013b; UNDP & ILO).
- While many civil society inputs do discuss growth in similar terms to Institutional proposals, consultations with civil society reveal much questioning of the growth paradigm, with calls for more inclusive economic policies, including through fairer trade and tax regimes, and a stronger focus on equity than on growth in its own right (Beyond 2015 et al., 62 CSOs, BGLP).
- Civil society inputs have an emphasis on employment rights, highlighting the need to address exploitation and 'risky jobs', and to protect workers' rights and voice (BGLP, IGLP, UNDG, 2013b, Burns et al., 2013).
  - The *Participate* study highlights factors that can erode employment rights and the decent work agenda, such as policies prioritising inflation control over employment, concessions to certain companies (e.g. mining industry) within countries, and the concentration of capital in certain sectors. It proposes that a future framework should support those in the informal sector and the transition to formal employment, and that the poorest should have control over productive assets (Burns et al., 2013).
- Only UNGC proposes eliminating child labour, and looks at ways to raise labour productivity through other development goals (education, health etc.).

- *Income inequality:*<sup>2</sup> There are divergent approaches to inequality.
  - The UNGC and SDSN proposals include targets to address income inequality - UNGC proposes reducing national Gini coefficients by 30%, and SDSN proposes to ‘reduce by half the proportion of households with incomes less than half of the national median income (relative poverty)’. Both the UNGC and SDSN view greater income equity as means to reach inclusive sustainable development, and in addition SDSN seeks to address this through wider factors including participation, social ethics and human rights.
  - Other institutional proposals focus instead on addressing a more limited agenda of ‘inequality of opportunity’ (HLP; UNSG).
  - Civil society inputs take a range of approaches to income inequality, and many agree with those UN reports that recognise income inequalities as a starting point to tackle wider inequalities (UNDG, 2013b; BGLP; UNDP & ILO, 2013), and /or suggest specific indicators for monitoring income inequality (62 CSOs; Beyond 2015 et al.). However, most civil society groups call for an ambitious and wide-ranging agenda to tackle inequality, including and beyond incomes, and outline the need to address structural inequalities, e.g. based on gender, social norms, discrimination and governance.
  - E.g. *Participate* research highlights intersecting inequalities that perpetuate marginalisation of certain groups defined by their identity, economic status or geographic location, suggesting a holistic and nationally-based approach is required to address these structural inequalities (Burns et al., 2013). *See further details in the overlapping theme of ‘Social Inclusion’.*

## Peace & Stability

Peace and stability feature as a goal in three of the institutional proposals, and in the fourth as a target within the wider goal on ‘gender equality, social inclusion, and human rights’. 12 per cent of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address peace and stability related factors.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Ensure Stable and Peaceful Societies*

**SDSN:** *Achieve Gender Equality, Social Inclusion, and Human Rights for all (target to ‘prevent and eliminate violence against individuals, especially women and children’)*

**UNGC:** *Build peaceful and stable societies*

**UNSG:** *Build peace and effective governance based on the rule of law and sound institutions*



### Similar approaches

- There is consensus across proposals on reducing or eliminating violence, with the HLP and UNGC proposing a specific target on reducing violent deaths per 100,000, while UNGC specifies a 20 per cent reduction. Civil society inputs differ somewhat here in terminology, with some using wording on ‘freedom from violence (and fear)’, particularly for women and children (UNDG, 2013b).
- All proposals point out the mutually reinforcing links between peace and development. Within this most focus on economic inclusion and addressing inequalities as key tools for promoting peace. Specifically, there is a high degree of consensus around promoting inclusive economic opportunities, addressing inequalities and ensuring access for all groups to public services.
  - The SDSN proposes a target on reducing by half the proportion of households with incomes less than half of the national median income (SDSN), the UNGC proposes improving access among all ethnic,

<sup>2</sup> See also the overlapping theme of ‘Social Inclusion’.

religious and social groups to justice services and economic opportunity (UNGC), and civil society consultations point to the importance of reducing inequalities across social groups and regions within countries (UNDG, 2013b).

- An approach based on strengthening rule of law, particularly through effective and inclusive institutions, is common across proposals.
  - Specifically, making institutions of justice and security fair, non-discriminatory and accessible to all groups is a strong theme for both institutional and civil society proposals (HLP; UNDG, 2013b; SDSN).
  - Civil society inputs highlight the importance of non-discrimination in security, justice and other institutions to raise trust in society (UGLP, UNDG, 2013a).

### **Divergences**

- Institutional proposals tend not to focus on external drivers of conflict within this goal area, although two note the importance of reducing organised crime (HLP; UNGC). In contrast, civil society proposals and the UNGC proposal have a stronger focus on external drivers (UNDG, 2013b; 62 CSOs; EGLP, 2013), particularly illicit flows of arms, drugs, finance, natural resources and human trafficking (UNDG, 2013b; UNGC).
- Proposals from civil society and from UNGC also mention the importance of mediation and dispute resolution (UNGC; CAFOD), and working through community-based and traditional institutions (CAFOD).
- Some proposals discuss transparency and accountability as tools that can help with peace building by eliminating bribery and corruption (UNSG; UNDG, 2013b; IGLP, 2013).
- Only the UNGC notes ways in which private sector can play a role to set standards on safety, crime, money transfers, discrimination etc.
- Only one on the civil society inputs reviewed discusses land rights and management as a driver of conflict.

## **Governance**

Governance features as a goal across all four institutional proposals, and a quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address national level governance and rights.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Ensure Good Governance and Effective Institutions*

**SDSN:** *Transform Governance for Sustainable Development*

**UNGC:** *Good governance and realization of human rights*

**UNSG:** *Build peace and effective governance based on the rule of law and sound institutions*



### **Similar approaches**

- There is similarity across proposals in recognising the need for transparency and accountability for effective governance, and particularly transparent and accountable institutions.
- There is consensus on the need for transparency through access to information. This is central to both UN and civil society proposals on governance.
- Proposals agree on the need for accountability, mainly in the context of ensuring effective institutions and reducing bribery and corruption (HLP; SDSN; UNGC; UNSG; UNDG, 2013b and other civil society proposals). However, few propose ways of translating this into a concrete target.

- 
- All institutional and civil society proposals mention civil society participation (or ‘participatory governance’) to improve accountability and governance objectives (62 CSOs; SDSN; UNSG; UNDG, 2013b; UNGC; HLP; BGLP).
    - For instance, the UNSG’s report calls for: “citizens’ involvement in policymaking and their oversight of the use of public resources.”
    - UNGC suggests involving business alongside state agencies and civil society to minimize or eliminate bribery (UNGC).
    - The *Participate* study suggests building participatory governance: “Involve citizens in creating, monitoring and implementing policies, by generating genuine dialogue between marginalised groups and authorities at the local, national, regional and global levels” (Burns et al., 2013).
  - All proposals mention human or civil rights, with some calling for specific civil and political rights (HLP; UNDG, 2013b), and others for respect for a broader human rights agenda (SDSN; UNGC; UNSG).
  - Civil and political rights mentioned as targets within the HLP and civil society proposals include freedom of speech, association, peaceful protest and access to independent media and information (HLP; UNDG, 2013a).
  - Proposals that address human rights mainly call for respecting human rights and fundamental freedoms in general (SDSN; UNSG), or for improving the awareness and implementation of the existing UN human rights conventions and instruments (UNGC).
    - Most civil society inputs go further on human rights than institutional proposals.

### ***Divergences***

- Civil society proposals contain greater emphasis on increasing political participation than institutional proposals, and overall they put forward greater levels of ambition in the areas of human rights, global governance and accountability.
  - E.g. Some civil society proposals (e.g. Beyond 2015 et al., 62 CSOs, Burns et al., OHCHR & UNDP) apply a broader definition of human rights, including economic, social and cultural rights, while most institutional proposals focus on a more specific set of civil and political rights.
- *Participate* research advocates for involving marginalised groups in defining the rights that matter to them and prioritising these (Burns et al., 2013).
- Most civil society proposals address questions of global and national level governance as inter-linked factors, while some institutional proposals (that of the HLP and the UNSG) address them separately. CAFOD notes that “international and national legislation must work effectively for poor people”.
- Some of the civil society proposals and the SDSN proposal specify the need for accountability for a post-2015 framework itself (Beyond 2015 et al.; 62 CSOs).
  - The SDSN proposes that governments and businesses commit to transparent monitoring and annual reports on progress against SDGs, and independent evaluation of major companies’ reporting; similarly, civil society proposes a ‘mandatory reporting regime for business’ (62 CSOs).
- While most civil society consultations and the SDSN proposal have a strong emphasis on corporate accountability, institutional proposals focus more on accountabilities for governments and institutions.
- Some proposals seek a target on universal legal identity through birth registrations (HLP; UNSG).
- The UNGC proposes a target on ‘*competitive and transparent procurement processes through public advertising of all government procurement cases*’ (UNGC).

---

## Environmental Sustainability

Environmental sustainability features as a goal in three of the institutional proposals, and in the fourth as a cross-cutting issue in other goal areas. A quarter of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address sustainability factors.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** *Manage Natural Resource Assets Sustainably*

**SDSN:** *(1) Achieve Development within Planetary Boundaries; (2) Secure Ecosystem Services, Biodiversity and ensure Good Management of Water and other Natural Resources*

**UNGC:** *Included as cross-cutting issue in other goal areas*

**UNSG:** *(1) Address environmental challenges; (2) Address climate change*



### Similar approaches

- All proposals seek to address environmental challenges alongside poverty in recognition that progress on poverty could otherwise be unsustainable due to environmental degradation and climate change.
  - Although reports point out the need to make progress in both poverty and environment objectives, few make concrete proposals for goals or targets to do this in an integrated way.
- All institutional proposals except that of UNGC have a dedicated goal on environmental sustainability, and all including UNGC integrate it as cross-cutting (UNGC builds this into goals on sustainable water, food and energy resources).
- All institutional proposals call for sustainable management of natural resources or ecosystems, and the HLP and SDSN subscribe to the Aichi biodiversity targets.
- Sustainable resource management targets address energy, forests, soil or agricultural land, fresh water and fisheries or oceans (HLP, SDSN, UNSG).
- All proposals seek to address climate change, although approaches to this vary (see below).
- Civil society consultations and UN proposal (HLP; SDSN) highlight the need to go beyond GDP as an indicator of prosperity, to also include environmental and /or social factors. Some of these proposals suggest putting in place greater financial value and incentives for sustainable environmental resources management.
- The SDSN, UNGC and UNSG reports, as well as several civil society inputs (Beyond 2015 et al., 62 CSOs, UNDP & UNEP), note disaster risk reduction in the context of environmental sustainability or climate change. The HLP addresses this within the proposed poverty goal.
- Almost all institutional proposals and some civil society inputs highlight the need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

### Divergences

- SDSN and UNGC propose pricing carbon.
- The SDSN has some of the most ambitious proposals to protect natural resources and ecosystems, proposing the adoption of legislation to address the drivers of ecosystem degradation, and that governments, businesses and individuals should ‘pay the social cost of pollution and use of environmental services’ (SDSN).
- SDSN puts forward a vision for an over-arching ‘planetary boundaries’ goal, integrating within this economic, social and environmental targets.

- 
- In most institutional proposals climate change is addressed within energy goals, while consultations have a strong focus on climate change mitigation in its own right.
    - Civil society inputs make clear proposals to tackle climate change through a range of measures, including through more ambitious climate negotiations and /or climate finance (Beyond 2015 et al.; 62 CSOs), and one mentions the need for developed countries to take responsibility for climate change (UGLP).
  - Unlike institutional proposals which are mainly based on a narrative of continued but more inclusive growth, several consultations call for transformation in production and consumption patterns to support environmental sustainability (62 CSOs, UNDG, 2013b; UNDG, 2013a; BGLP).
    - E.g. UNDG, 2013b outlines transformational shifts necessary for sustainability, including changing our economic paradigm, the modes of production and consumption, and bringing about better governance and education for sustainable development.
  - The HLP and SDSN propose environmental monitoring and accountability systems:
    - The HLP identifies a need to: *'publish and use economic, social and environmental accounts in all governments and major companies'* and *'increase consideration of sustainability in x% of government procurements'* (HLP);
    - The SDSN proposes that in addition to reporting on their contribution to planetary boundaries based on expanded GDP measures that include environmental and social indicators, countries should participate in regional and global monitoring systems for environmental protection, and that there should be payment by individuals, governments and business for the cost of pollution and environmental services (SDSN).
  - Some civil society inputs also call for monitoring and accountability, with an emphasis on sanctions or deterrents against corporate activities that lead to pollution or undermine sustainability objectives (Beyond 2015 et al.; IGLP, 2013) or *'incentives for innovations that protect the environment'* (UGLP).
  - Civil society input highlights the need for ensuring sustainable ecosystem services in contexts where extractive industries are a major source of wealth (UNDG, 2013b).
  - The UNGC proposes targets on urban air pollution and reducing emissions from the construction and operation of buildings.
  - Some civil society proposals and that of the UNGC point to the role of education in driving the necessary changes amongst individuals and within society to contribute to sustainable development and transformations in consumption and production patterns (UNCG; UNDG, 2013b; UNDP & UNEP).




## Global enabling environment

A global enabling environment features at goal level in three of the institutional proposals, and in the fourth as a target in the goal for governance and human rights. A fifth of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address factors of global governance.

**Degree of similarity:** ★★

**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

- HLP:** *Create a Global Enabling Environment and Catalyse Long-Term Finance*
- SDSN:** *Transform Governance for Sustainable Development (targets on global governance & financing)*
- UNGC:** *Good governance and realization of human rights (target on international trading and financial system)*
- UNSG:** *Foster a renewed global partnership*



### Similar approaches

- UN and civil society proposals call for future goals that create an enabling environment in terms of finance, trade, taxation, aid, strengthened global architecture and partnerships, and supportive, accountable business practices.
- Institutional proposals highlight the need for long-term financing of future goals.
  - Most propose that domestic resources and private finance should play a stronger role in future development finance, and that this will require strengthening domestic resource mobilisation and multi-stakeholder partnerships, a stable global financial system and innovative financial instruments (UNSG; HLP; SDSN).
- There is also a need for continued official development assistance (ODA), particularly in low-income, conflict-affected and otherwise vulnerable states, and high-income countries should reach past commitments to ODA levels at 0.7 percent of GNI (HLP; SDSN; UNSG; CAFOD). Some propose that in future development assistance should be used to leverage private funds and efforts for development (UNGC; UNSG).
- There is consensus among most institutional and civil society proposals in calling for decisive global measures to combat tax secrecy, tax havens, and illicit financial flows through strengthened global cooperation (UNSG; HLP; SDSN; IGLP; Beyond 2015 et al.; 62 CSOs).
- Fairer terms of trade features strongly across proposals. Specifically, the need to remove agricultural subsidies (HLP); removing barriers (e.g. duties, quotas) to market access for Least Developed Countries (UNGC; UNSG), and lowering restrictions and tariffs on green goods and services (UNGC).
- Proposals call for new and more effective global partnerships to support financing, trade, tax and other factors of an enabling environment.
  - SDSN suggests that managing globalisation effectively, particularly multinational corporations' activities across jurisdictions, requires more effective global cooperation between countries;
  - The HLP and UNSG propose that post-2015 goals should catalyse new partnerships;
  - UNGC proposes “a robust business engagement framework that can broaden the participation of companies, deepen commitments on core issues, upgrade partnerships and collective action, strengthen capacity for supporting business implementation at the national and local levels, and leverage the potential of private finance and investment” (UNGC, 2013).
- Most UN and civil society reports call for supporting development, particularly economic transformation in lower-income countries, through access to intellectual property, innovation and technology (UNSG; SDSN; HLP; 62 CSOs).

---

### **Divergences**

- UNGC, SDSN and civil society inputs highlight the need to transform business practices so that they can support future development objectives. This involves effective monitoring of and accountability for corporate practices, in line with principles of inclusive sustainable development. There is particular emphasis for stronger reporting and accountability for the environmental degradation caused by some corporations (SDSN; Beyond 2015 et al.).
  - SDSN proposes aligning private incentives with the public interest, and UNGC proposes *'a robust business engagement framework that can broaden the participation of companies, deepen commitments on core issues, upgrade partnerships and collective action, strengthen capacity for supporting business implementation at the national and local levels, and leverage the potential of private finance and investment'* (UNGC).
- Some civil society coalitions call for radical reforms towards a fairer global system, critiquing the lack of specific proposals from the High Level Panel on strengthening global partnership. For instance, one civil society input proposes reforms of economic and social structures which *'should include the regulation of financial markets, the restructuring of unfair trade regimes and of intellectual property rights'* (62 CSOs, 2013).
- The HLP proposes reforming the global financial system and architecture to avoid future crises and promote stable long-term investment (HLP; 62 CSOs, 2013), while the SDSN frames this as an agenda beyond Sustainable Development Goals.
- Some highlight the importance of helping finance energy transition in the global south, and ending producer subsidies on fossil fuels to help catalyse transitions to cleaner energies (SDSN; UNGC).
- The UNSG and HLP advocate that the financing principles agreed at Monterrey should underpin commitments to finance post-2015 goals, and for reaching a development-oriented conclusion of the WTO Doha Round of trade negotiations.
- The UNSG calls for further debt relief for heavily indebted countries (UNSG).

---

# Annex II: Goals featured in some key proposals

## Infrastructure & technology

One UN proposal addresses infrastructure and technology at goal level, and SDSN addresses infrastructure as a key target for agriculture systems and rural prosperity, and for the goal on cities. 14 per cent of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address infrastructure and technology.

**Degree of similarity:** ★


**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

**HLP:** -

**SDSN:** (1) *Improve agriculture systems and raise rural prosperity (target on ‘universal access in rural areas to basic resources and infrastructure services’)*; (2) *Empower inclusive, productive, and resilient cities (target on ‘universal access to a secure and affordable built environment and basic urban services’)*

**UNGC:** *Modernize infrastructure and technology*

**UNSG:** -



### Similar approaches

- SDSN proposes a range of approaches to pro-poor infrastructure through targets within goals on 1) agriculture and rural prosperity and on 2) inclusive, productive, resilient cities. The SDSN proposal calls for universal access to infrastructure services in rural and urban contexts, including mobile and broadband communications alongside a range of other services (land or housing; water, sanitation, modern and sustainable energy, transport, etc).
- The HLP agrees on the need for universal access to infrastructure including transport and ICT, proposing a target to ‘*Strengthen productive capacity by providing universal access to financial services and infrastructure such as transportation and ICT*’, within the proposed goal to ‘*Create Jobs, Sustainable Livelihoods, and Equitable Growth*’.

### Divergences

- UNGC proposes a goal to ‘modernise infrastructure and technology’, calling for more sustainable infrastructure through reducing carbon emissions in building construction.
- Some civil society inputs are sceptical of an over-reliance on technology to solve development challenges (CAFOD; 62 CSOs).
- Civil society warns against unintended impacts of infrastructure projects and advocates consulting and involving affected communities right through from design to planning and execution of these projects.
- The UNSG report only briefly mentions infrastructure in the context of tackling exclusion and inequality.


## Urbanisation

Urbanisation is addressed at goal level in half of the key institutional proposals, and features in less than a tenth of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker.

**Degree of similarity:** ★

**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

- HLP:** -  
**SDSN:** *'Empower Inclusive, Productive and Resilient Cities'*  
**UNGC:** -  
**UNSG:** *'Meet the challenges of urbanization'*



### Similar approaches

- Of the main institutional proposals, only SDSN and the UNSG suggest addressing urbanisation as a stand-alone goal area: SDSN seeks to “empower inclusive, productive and resilient cities”, and the UNSG calls for future goals to “meet the challenges of urbanisation”. The HLP report also mentions the relevance of urbanisation, including as a cross-cutting issue for other goal areas.
- Proposals that address urban issues agree on the need for more sustainable cities (UNSG; SDSN), and some specify the need for more environmentally sustainable, energy efficient transport and infrastructure systems (SDSN; UNDG, 2013b; UNFPA et al. 2013).
- There is agreement among the SDSN, UNSG and civil society inputs on the need to raise urban living standards, particularly in urban slums, through expanding access to employment and livelihoods, infrastructure (including ICT & housing) and service provision (SDSN; UNSG; UNDG, 2013b; UNFPA et al. 2013; Burns et al., 2013).

### Divergences

- Some proposals highlight the importance of investing in disaster risk reduction in urban areas, and addressing the vulnerability of urban slum dwellers to disasters and climate change.
- The UNSG report and civil society inputs highlight links between rural and urban areas, and the need to ensure that economic, land management and environmental conditions in rural areas do not drive unsustainable urbanisation (UNSG; UNDG, 2013a; UNFPA et al. 2013).


## Social Inclusion<sup>3</sup>

Social inclusion is addressed at goal level in half of the main institutional proposals, and less than a tenth of all proposals recorded in the Future Goals Tracker address this.

**Degree of similarity:** ★

**No. of proposals in Future Goals Tracker:**

- HLP:** -  
**SDSN:** *Achieve Gender Equality, Social Inclusion and Human Rights for All (target to ‘monitor and end discrimination and inequalities in public service delivery, the rule of law, access to justice, and participation in political and economic life’)*  
**UNGC:** -  
**UNSG:** *Tackle exclusion and inequality*



<sup>3</sup> See also the overlapping theme of ‘Inclusive Growth & Employment – Income Inequality’.

---

### **Similar approaches**

- From among institutional proposals, only the SDSN and UNSG report address social inclusion.
- There is consensus among those UN and civil society proposals that address social exclusion and inequality on the need for solutions to involve strengthening economic and political participation, ensuring inclusive access to legal and public services and ending discrimination.
- They also agree on the need for data disaggregation in order to monitor gender and group-based inequalities.
- The UNSG proposes a goal to tackle exclusion and inequality through inclusive economies with access to “*decent employment, legal identification, financial services, infrastructure and social protection*”, where all are able to contribute to and participate in governance.
  - SDSN proposes a range of overlapping measures: “*gender equality, social inclusion and human rights for all*”, addressing inequalities in public service delivery, rule of law, access to justice and participation in political and economic life.
- The SDSN specifies monitoring its proposed target on inequality and discrimination “*on the basis of gender, ethnicity, religion, disability, national origin, and social or other status*”. Civil society inputs agree on the need to disaggregate data to unmask gender and other group-based inequalities (UNDG, 2013b).

### **Divergences**

- Civil society inputs suggest that addressing social exclusion requires tackling inequality and discrimination through wide-ranging measures, including:
  - Economic transformation; ending discrimination or inequalities in legal and public service access; changing social norms; strengthening governance and tackling corruption through improved transparency and accountability (Beyond 2015 et al.; UNDG, 2013b; IGLP; CAFOD; UNDG, 2013a; UNICEF & UN Women, 2013; Burns et al., 2013).
- Civil society inputs propose addressing social exclusion and inequality in their own right, and by monitoring for equitable outcomes across other goal areas (UNDG, 2013b).
  - E.g. that all other goals should be articulated ‘*in terms that specifically seek equality in outcomes*’ (UNICEF & UN Women, 2013).
- Several civil society proposals note the importance of social protection in addressing inequality and social exclusion for the most disadvantaged and excluded groups (Beyond 2015 et al., IGLP; UNDG, 2013a; UNICEF & UN Women, 2013).
- *Income inequality:*
- The UNGC and SDSN proposals include targets to address income inequality.
  - UNGC proposes reducing national Gini coefficients by 30%, and SDSN proposes to ‘*reduce by half the proportion of households with incomes less than half of the national median income*’.
  - Most civil society proposals agree on addressing income inequality, however overall they seek to address this as part of wider intersecting inequalities and social exclusion. *See further details in the overlapping theme of ‘Inclusive growth & Employment – Income Inequality’.*
- The HLP and UNSG take a different approach, focusing specifically on ‘inequality of opportunity’.

---

# References

62 Civil Society Organisations (CSOs) (2013) Joint CSO letter to UN Secretary General, Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/362350>. [Accessed August 2013]

Beyond 2015, the Global Call to Action Against Poverty (GCAP) and the International Forum of National NGO Platforms (IFP) (2013) *Civil Society Demands for the Post-2015 Agenda from 39 Countries*, <http://www.beyond2015.org>. [Available at <http://www.beyond2015.org/civil-society-demands-post-2015-agenda> – Accessed August 2013]

Brazil Ground Level Panel (BGLP) (2013) ‘Awêre para Kisile - “That everything may work out for those who don't have a name yet”’, <http://www.participate2015.org>. [Available online at <http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/Brazil-GLP-Communique-FINAL.pdf> Accessed September 2013]

Burns, D. et al. (2013) *Work with us: How people and organisations can catalyse sustainable change*, Institute of Development Studies and Beyond 2015, Available at: [http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/Work-with-us\\_How-people-and-organisations-can-catalyse-sustainable-change-FINAL.pdf](http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/09/Work-with-us_How-people-and-organisations-can-catalyse-sustainable-change-FINAL.pdf) – Accessed September 2013]

CAFOD (2013) *Setting the post-2015 development compass: voices from the ground*, London: CAFOD

Egypt Ground Level Panel (EGLP) (2013) *Communiqué: Meeting of the Ground-Level Panel on the Post-2015 Development Agenda*, <http://www.participate2015.org>. [Available online at <http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Egypt-GLP-Communique%CC%81-June-2013.pdf> – accessed August 2013]

High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 Development Agenda (HLP) (2013) *A new global partnership: eradicate poverty and transform economies through sustainable development – the report of the High-Level Panel of Eminent Persons on the Post-2015 Development Agenda*, New York: UN

India Ground Level Panel (IGLP) (2013) *Voice for change: A post-2015 development agenda by people living in poverty*, <http://www.participate2015.org>. [Available online at <http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/India-GLP-communique%CC%81-July-2013.pdf> – accessed August 2013]

OHCHR and UNDP (2013) *Global Thematic Consultation on Governance and the post-2015 development framework – Consultation report*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/governance/finalreport> – Accessed August 2013]

SDSN (2013) *An Action Agenda for Sustainable Development – Report for the UN Secretary General*, New York: UN

Uganda Ground Level Panel (UGLP) (2013) *A Vision for Development Perspectives from the Uganda Ground Level Panel on a Post-2015 Framework*, <http://www.participate2015.org>. [Available online at <http://www.participate2015.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/05/Uganda-GLP-Communique%CC%81-July-2013.pdf> – accessed August 2013]

UNDG (2013a) *The Global Conversation Begins – emerging views for a new development agenda*, New York: UN

UNDG (2013b) *A million voices: The world we want – a sustainable future with dignity for all*, New York: UN

UNDP and ILO (2013c) *Growth and Employment in the Post-2015 Development Agenda: Key Messages from the Thematic Consultation (draft)*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/354929> – Accessed August 2013]

UNDP and UNEP (2013) *Breaking down the silos: Integrating environmental sustainability in the post-2015 agenda*, <http://www.worldwewant2015.org>. [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/382890> – Accessed August 2013]

UNFPA, UNDESA, UN-HABITAT, IOM (2013) *Population Dynamics in the Post-2015 Development Agenda Report of the Global Thematic Consultation on Population Dynamics*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/file/313464/download/340868> – Accessed August 2013]

---

UNGC (2013) *Corporate Sustainability and the United Nations Post-2015 Development Agenda - Perspectives from UN Global Compact Participants on Global Priorities and How to Engage Business Towards Sustainable Development Goals*, New York: UN

UNICEF and UN Women (2013) *Addressing Inequalities - Synthesis Report of Global Public Consultation*, <http://www.worldwewant2015.org>. [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/299198> – accessed August 2013]

UNICEF and UNESCO (2013) *Making education a priority in the post-2015 development agenda: Report of the Global Thematic Consultation on Education in the Post-2015 Development Agenda*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/education2015> – Accessed August 2013]

UNSG (2013) *A life of dignity for all: accelerating progress towards the Millennium Development Goals and advancing the United Nations development agenda beyond 2015 - Report of the Secretary-General*, New York: UN

UN-Water (2013) *The Post 2015 Water Thematic Consultation – Report*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/366798> – Accessed August 2013]

WHO and UNICEF (2013) *Health in the post-2015 agenda - Report of the Global Thematic Consultation on Health*, [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/file/337378/download/366802> – accessed August 2013]

World We Want (2013a) *Global Thematic Consultation on Energy and the Post-2015 Development Agenda: Key messages*, <http://www.worldwewant2015.org>. [Available at <http://www.worldwewant2015.org/node/339192> – Accessed August 2013]

World We Want (2013b) *The Madrid High Level Consultation on Hunger, Food Security and Nutrition In the Post-2015 Development Framework*, [Available at [http://www.fao.org/fsnforum/post2015/sites/post2015/files/files/Vision\\_and\\_Building\\_Blocks\\_for\\_Global\\_Agenda.pdf](http://www.fao.org/fsnforum/post2015/sites/post2015/files/files/Vision_and_Building_Blocks_for_Global_Agenda.pdf) – Accessed August 2013].



ODI is the UK's leading independent think tank on international development and humanitarian issues.

Our mission is to inspire and inform policy and practice which lead to the reduction of poverty, the alleviation of suffering and the achievement of sustainable livelihoods.

We do this by locking together high-quality applied research, practical policy advice and policy-focused dissemination and debate.

We work with partners in the public and private sectors, in both developing and developed countries.

---

Readers are encouraged to reproduce material from ODI Reports for their own publications, as long as they are not being sold commercially. As copyright holder, ODI requests due acknowledgement and a copy of the publication. For online use, we ask readers to link to the original resource on the ODI website. The views presented in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the views of ODI.

© Overseas Development Institute 2013. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial Licence (CC BY-NC 3.0).

ISSN: 2052-7209

**Overseas Development Institute**  
203 Blackfriars Road  
London SE1 8NJ  
Tel +44 (0)20 7922 0300  
Fax +44 (0)20 7922 0399



This material has been funded by UK aid from the UK Government, however the views expressed do not necessarily reflect the UK Government's official policies.